

Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Total Knee Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus femoral/tibial combination with all other total knee prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, <https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2025>.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The revision rate of the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% CI)
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	89	631	8333	1.07 (0.86, 1.31)
Other Total Knee	24707	721061	4535539	0.54 (0.54, 0.55)
TOTAL	24796	721692	4543872	0.55 (0.54, 0.55)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 2

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision (95% CI) of Primary Total Knee Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	0.8 (0.3, 1.9)	3.2 (2.1, 5.0)	4.1 (2.8, 6.0)	5.8 (4.2, 8.0)	5.8 (4.2, 8.0)	7.2 (5.4, 9.6)	7.8 (5.9, 10.2)	8.9 (6.9, 11.5)
Other Total Knee	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)	1.8 (1.8, 1.9)	2.4 (2.4, 2.4)	2.8 (2.8, 2.8)	3.2 (3.1, 3.2)	3.5 (3.4, 3.5)	3.8 (3.7, 3.8)	4.1 (4.0, 4.2)

CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	10.3 (8.0, 13.1)	11.1 (8.8, 14.0)	11.3 (9.0, 14.3)	12.3 (9.8, 15.4)	13.1 (10.5, 16.2)	14.7 (11.9, 18.1)	15.3 (12.4, 18.8)	15.9 (12.9, 19.5)
Other Total Knee	4.4 (4.3, 4.5)	4.7 (4.7, 4.8)	5.1 (5.0, 5.1)	5.4 (5.3, 5.5)	5.7 (5.6, 5.8)	6.0 (5.9, 6.1)	6.4 (6.3, 6.5)	6.8 (6.7, 7.0)

CPR	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	16.6 (13.5, 20.3)	16.9 (13.8, 20.7)	17.8 (14.5, 21.7)	18.3 (14.9, 22.3)	18.9 (15.4, 23.2)	18.9 (15.4, 23.2)	
Other Total Knee	7.3 (7.1, 7.4)	7.6 (7.4, 7.8)	7.9 (7.7, 8.1)	8.1 (7.9, 8.3)	8.4 (8.1, 8.6)	8.5 (8.2, 8.8)	8.6 (8.3, 8.9)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.


FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.

Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement



Number at Risk	0 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	631	612	589	570	549	533	511	494	476	443	415	379
Other Total Knee	721061	640728	562035	498192	435325	380214	326275	276480	231477	191174	154582	123868

Number at Risk	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	351	333	306	285	257	238	210	179	133	97	53	16
Other Total Knee	97988	75221	56549	41508	30003	21223	14967	10421	6807	4051	2359	1090

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 3**Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement**

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total knee prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

Primary Diagnosis	Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus		Other Total Knee	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	87	97.8	23890	96.7
Rheumatoid Arthritis	2	2.2	301	1.2
Tumour			192	0.8
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			158	0.6
Osteonecrosis			90	0.4
Fracture			50	0.2
Other			25	0.1
Chondrocalcinosis			1	0.0
TOTAL	89	100.0	24707	100.0

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 4

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Knee Replacement - Reason for Revision

Revision Diagnosis	Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus			Other Total Knee		
	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	11	1.7	12.4	7091	1.0	28.7
Loosening	31	4.9	34.8	5262	0.7	21.3
Instability	5	0.8	5.6	2537	0.4	10.3
Patella Erosion	1	0.2	1.1	1817	0.3	7.4
Pain	5	0.8	5.6	1763	0.2	7.1
Patellofemoral Pain	5	0.8	5.6	1480	0.2	6.0
Arthrofibrosis	2	0.3	2.2	1047	0.1	4.2
Fracture	2	0.3	2.2	1017	0.1	4.1
Malalignment	2	0.3	2.2	488	0.1	2.0
Wear Tibial Insert	8	1.3	9.0	322	0.0	1.3
Lysis	7	1.1	7.9	264	0.0	1.1
Incorrect Sizing				210	0.0	0.8
Implant Breakage Tibial Insert	2	0.3	2.2	204	0.0	0.8
Patella Maltracking	2	0.3	2.2	175	0.0	0.7
Bearing Dislocation				142	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Patella				132	0.0	0.5
Metal Related Pathology	3	0.5	3.4	101	0.0	0.4
Prosthesis Dislocation	1	0.2	1.1	70	0.0	0.3
Synovitis				59	0.0	0.2
Osteonecrosis				46	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Femoral				45	0.0	0.2
Wear Patella				43	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Tibial	2	0.3	2.2	35	0.0	0.1
Tumour				30	0.0	0.1
Heterotopic Bone				14	0.0	0.1
Progression Of Disease				8	0.0	0.0
Wear Tibial				6	0.0	0.0
Incorrect Side				1	0.0	0.0
Patella Dislocation				1	0.0	0.0
Wear Femoral				1	0.0	0.0
Other				296	0.0	1.2
N Revision	89	14.1	100.0	24707	3.4	100.0
N Primary	631			721061		

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2**Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Knee Replacement**

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total knee prostheses.


Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Knee Replacement

TABLE 5

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Knee Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total knee prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total knee prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Knee Replacement - Type of Revision

Type of Revision	Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus		Other Total Knee	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TKR (Tibial/Femoral)	44	49.4	5912	23.9
Tibial Component	5	5.6	1803	7.3
Femoral Component	1	1.1	1184	4.8
Cement Spacer	3	3.4	1082	4.4
Removal of Prostheses			126	0.5
Total Femoral			22	0.1
Reinsertion of Components			7	0.0
N Major	53	59.6	10136	41.0
Insert Only	23	25.8	7667	31.0
Patella Only	8	9.0	4164	16.9
Insert/Patella	5	5.6	2668	10.8
Minor Components			63	0.3
Cement Only			9	0.0
N Minor	36	40.4	14571	59.0
TOTAL	89	100.0	24707	100.0

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 6**Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cemented	10	89
Cementless	38	364
Hybrid (Tibial Cemented)	40	174
Hybrid (Tibial Cementless)	1	4
TOTAL	89	631

TABLE 7**Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this combination are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Non XLPE	89	631
TOTAL	89	631

TABLE 8**Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing mobilities. All bearing mobilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

Bearing Mobility	N Revised	N Total
Fixed	3	34
Rotating - Sliding	86	597
TOTAL	89	631

TABLE 9**Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of stabilities. All stabilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 9: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

Stability	N Revised	N Total
Minimally Stabilised	89	631
TOTAL	89	631

TABLE 10

Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total knee prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Table 10: Revised Number of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

Component	State	N Revised	N Total
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	NSW	89	631
Other Total Knee	NSW	6834	236304
	VIC	5457	148735
	QLD	5317	152558
	WA	3259	85158
	SA	2886	66544
	TAS	390	13242
	ACT/NT	564	18520
TOTAL		24796	721692

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 11**Number of Revisions of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant**

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2024 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 11: Number of Revisions of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2001	6	56
2002	18	125
2003	24	151
2004	11	110
2005	18	101
2006	9	43
2007	2	30
2008	1	15
TOTAL	89	631

TABLE 12

Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Coating	Fixation
Femoral					
Rotaglide Plus	102410-102424	COCR LATCHED SURFACE RIGHT	YES		
Rotaglide Plus	A102410B-A102414B	COCR LATCHED SURFACE TIALLOY POROUS COAT + HAP LEFT	NO	HA COATED	POROUS
Rotaglide Plus	A102420B-A102424B	COCR LATCHED SURFACE TIALLOY POROUS COAT + HAP RIGHT	NO	HA COATED	POROUS
Tibial					
Rotaglide Plus	102100-102104	COCR LATCHED SURFACE BASE PLATE	YES		
Rotaglide Plus	102100B-102104B	COCR LATCHED SURFACE TIALLOY POROUS COAT + HAP	NO		POROUS

Table 12: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Range	Tibial Range	N Revised	N Total
102410-102424	102100-102104	10	86
	102100B-102104B	1	4
A102410B-A102414B	102100-102104	21	85
	102100B-102104B	18	165
A102420B-A102424B	102100-102104	19	91
	102100B-102104B	20	200
TOTAL		89	631