

Conserve Plus Total Conventional Hip Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Conserve Plus acetabular prosthesis with all other total conventional hip prostheses.

This prosthesis has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, <https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2025>.

Note: Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The revision rate of the Conserve Plus total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% CI)
Conserve Plus	25	135	1832	1.36 (0.88, 2.01)
Other Total Conventional Hip	19492	552154	3552443	0.55 (0.54, 0.56)
TOTAL	19517	552289	3554275	0.55 (0.54, 0.56)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 2

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Conserve Plus total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision (95% CI) of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Conserve Plus	1.5 (0.4, 5.8)	2.2 (0.7, 6.8)	3.0 (1.1, 7.8)	3.8 (1.6, 8.8)	3.8 (1.6, 8.8)	4.6 (2.1, 9.9)	4.6 (2.1, 9.9)	6.3 (3.2, 12.3)
Other Total Conventional Hip	1.7 (1.7, 1.8)	2.2 (2.1, 2.2)	2.5 (2.4, 2.5)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.0 (3.0, 3.1)	3.3 (3.3, 3.4)	3.6 (3.5, 3.6)	3.9 (3.8, 3.9)

CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs
Conserve Plus	6.3 (3.2, 12.3)	11.6 (7.0, 18.8)	15.2 (9.8, 23.0)	16.1 (10.6, 24.1)	17.1 (11.3, 25.3)	17.1 (11.3, 25.3)	18.1 (12.2, 26.5)	20.5 (14.0, 29.4)
Other Total Conventional Hip	4.2 (4.1, 4.2)	4.4 (4.4, 4.5)	4.8 (4.7, 4.8)	5.2 (5.1, 5.3)	5.5 (5.4, 5.6)	5.9 (5.8, 6.0)	6.3 (6.2, 6.4)	6.7 (6.6, 6.9)

CPR	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs
Conserve Plus	22.1 (15.2, 31.4)						
Other Total Conventional Hip	7.1 (6.9, 7.2)	7.4 (7.3, 7.6)	7.9 (7.7, 8.1)	8.3 (8.0, 8.5)	8.8 (8.5, 9.1)	9.3 (9.0, 9.7)	9.9 (9.4, 10.5)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.


FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Conserve Plus total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.

Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement



Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 3**Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement**

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Primary Diagnosis	Conserve Plus		Other Total Conventional Hip	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	22	88.0	16174	83.0
Fractured Neck Of Femur			1436	7.4
Osteonecrosis	2	8.0	856	4.4
Developmental Dysplasia			313	1.6
Rheumatoid Arthritis			210	1.1
Failed Internal Fixation			157	0.8
Tumour			148	0.8
Other Inflammatory Arthritis	1	4.0	112	0.6
Fracture/Dislocation			53	0.3
Other			19	0.1
Arthrodesis Takedown			14	0.1
TOTAL	25	100.0	19492	100.0

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 4

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 20.6 Years)

Revision Diagnosis	Number	Conserve Plus		Other Total Conventional Hip		
		% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	6	4.4	24.0	4766	0.9	24.5
Prosthesis Dislocation/Instability	1	0.7	4.0	4398	0.8	22.6
Fracture	2	1.5	8.0	4340	0.8	22.3
Loosening	6	4.4	24.0	3693	0.7	19.0
Pain	2	1.5	8.0	327	0.1	1.7
Leg Length Discrepancy				297	0.1	1.5
Malposition	1	0.7	4.0	269	0.0	1.4
Lysis	1	0.7	4.0	204	0.0	1.0
Implant Breakage Stem				199	0.0	1.0
Implant Breakage Acetabular Insert				127	0.0	0.7
Wear Acetabular Insert				104	0.0	0.5
Incorrect Sizing				98	0.0	0.5
Metal Related Pathology	6	4.4	24.0	90	0.0	0.5
Implant Breakage Acetabular				68	0.0	0.3
Wear Head				42	0.0	0.2
Tumour				40	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Head				31	0.0	0.2
Heterotopic Bone				27	0.0	0.1
Wear Acetabulum				10	0.0	0.1
Osteonecrosis				3	0.0	0.0
Synovitis				1	0.0	0.0
Other				311	0.1	1.6
N Revision	25	18.5	100.0	19445	3.5	100.0
N Primary	135			552154		

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 20.6 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Conserve Plus total conventional hip prosthesis. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement




TABLE 5

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Conserve Plus total conventional hip prosthesis and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Conserve Plus total conventional hip prosthesis compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Type of Revision (Follow-up Limited to 20.6 Years)

Type of Revision	Conserve Plus		Other Total Conventional Hip	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Femoral Component	3	12.0	6554	33.7
Acetabular Component	7	28.0	3409	17.5
THR (Femoral/Acetabular)	12	48.0	2241	11.5
Cement Spacer	2	8.0	593	3.0
Removal of Prostheses			98	0.5
Reinsertion of Components			29	0.1
Total Femoral			13	0.1
Bipolar Head and Femoral			9	0.0
N Major	24	96.0	12946	66.6
Head/Insert			5090	26.2
Head Only			922	4.7
Minor Components			305	1.6
Insert Only			179	0.9
Bipolar Only			1	0.0
Cement Only			1	0.0
Head/Neck			1	0.0
Head/Neck/Insert	1	4.0		
N Minor	1	4.0	6499	33.4
TOTAL	25	100.0	19445	100.0

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 20.6 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 6**Revision Rates of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cementless	25	132
Hybrid (Femur Cemented)	0	3
TOTAL	25	135

TABLE 7**Revision Rates of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Metal/Metal	25	135
TOTAL	25	135

TABLE 8**Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State**

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Conserve Plus total conventional hip prosthesis and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Table 8: Revised Number of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

Component	State	N Revised	N Total
Conserve Plus	NSW	19	105
	VIC	2	11
	QLD	3	16
	ACT/NT	1	3
Other Total Conventional Hip	NSW	5288	159916
	VIC	4851	143812
	QLD	3893	100102
	WA	2492	62236
	SA	1926	51998
	TAS	448	18480
	ACT/NT	594	15610
TOTAL		19517	552289

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 9**Number of Revisions of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant**

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Conserve Plus total conventional hip prosthesis. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2024 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 9: Number of Revisions of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2004	3	19
2005	4	16
2006	10	46
2007	2	24
2008	2	15
2009	4	14
2010	0	1
TOTAL	25	135

TABLE 10**Revision Rates of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range**

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Conserve Plus prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material
Acetabular				
Conserve Plus	38021146-38021162	CONSERVE PLUS CUP SHELL SURFACE BEADED (METAL CEMENTLESS)	NO	METAL
Conserve Plus	38HA3642-38HA5664	TITANIUM SUPER POLISHED HA BEADED THIN ACETABULAR SHELL	NO	METAL
Conserve Plus	SPHC0346-SPHC0364	CONSERVE PLUS CUP BEADED HA	NO	METAL

Table 10: Revised Number of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Acetabular Range	N Revised	N Total
38021146-38021162	1	3
38HA3642-38HA5664	21	110
SPHC0346-SPHC0364	3	22
TOTAL	25	135

TABLE 11**Revision Rates of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Component**

A prosthesis may be combined with multiple components. This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the component with which it is combined.

Table 11: Revised Number of Conserve Plus Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Femoral Stem Component

Femoral Stem Component	N Revised	N Total
Anca-Fit	13	74
K2	0	1
Profemur E	5	14
Profemur Renaissance	0	1
Profemur T	2	12
Profemur XM	0	2
Profemur Z	5	31
TOTAL	25	135