

Atlas (Shell) Total Conventional Hip Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Atlas (Shell) acetabular prosthesis with all other total conventional hip prostheses.

This prosthesis has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, <https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2025>.

Note: Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2024 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The revision rate of the Atlas (Shell) total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% CI)
Atlas (Shell)	61	595	5053	1.21 (0.92, 1.55)
Other Total Conventional Hip	19466	551818	3550345	0.55 (0.54, 0.56)
TOTAL	19527	552413	3555398	0.55 (0.54, 0.56)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 2**Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement**

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Atlas (Shell) total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision (95% CI) of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Atlas (Shell)	3.3 (2.1, 5.1)	3.4 (2.2, 5.3)	4.1 (2.7, 6.0)	4.5 (3.1, 6.6)	4.7 (3.2, 6.9)	5.3 (3.6, 7.6)	6.1 (4.3, 8.7)	6.8 (4.8, 9.5)
Other Total Conventional Hip	1.7 (1.7, 1.8)	2.2 (2.1, 2.2)	2.5 (2.4, 2.5)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.0 (3.0, 3.1)	3.3 (3.3, 3.4)	3.6 (3.5, 3.6)	3.9 (3.8, 3.9)

CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs
Atlas (Shell)	7.8 (5.6, 10.8)	9.4 (6.9, 12.9)	10.3 (7.6, 14.0)	10.8 (8.0, 14.7)	13.5 (10.0, 18.0)	16.3 (12.3, 21.5)	17.6 (13.3, 23.0)	18.3 (13.9, 23.9)
Other Total Conventional Hip	4.2 (4.1, 4.2)	4.4 (4.4, 4.5)	4.8 (4.7, 4.8)	5.2 (5.1, 5.3)	5.5 (5.4, 5.6)	5.9 (5.8, 6.0)	6.3 (6.2, 6.4)	6.7 (6.6, 6.8)


CPR	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs
Atlas (Shell)	20.8 (15.8, 27.0)	20.8 (15.8, 27.0)	20.8 (15.8, 27.0)				
Other Total Conventional Hip	7.1 (6.9, 7.2)	7.4 (7.2, 7.6)	7.9 (7.7, 8.1)	8.3 (8.0, 8.5)	8.8 (8.5, 9.1)	9.3 (8.9, 9.7)	9.9 (9.4, 10.4)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 1**Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement**

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Atlas (Shell) total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.

Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Number at Risk	0 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs
Atlas (Shell)	595	523	487	453	424	377	348	311	273	246	215	189
Other Total Conventional Hip	551818	487441	432576	383995	337020	295655	254815	217013	182127	150274	122068	99316

Number at Risk	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs
Atlas (Shell)	176	158	142	124	105	86	69	41	24	0	0	0
Other Total Conventional Hip	80167	63948	49844	37898	28031	20554	15086	10865	7426	4536	2346	851

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 3**Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement**

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Primary Diagnosis	Atlas (Shell)		Other Total Conventional Hip	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	54	88.5	16152	83.0
Fractured Neck Of Femur	4	6.6	1435	7.4
Osteonecrosis	2	3.3	854	4.4
Developmental Dysplasia	1	1.6	312	1.6
Rheumatoid Arthritis			210	1.1
Failed Internal Fixation			157	0.8
Tumour			148	0.8
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			112	0.6
Fracture/Dislocation			53	0.3
Other			19	0.1
Arthrodesis Takedown			14	0.1
TOTAL	61	100.0	19466	100.0

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 4**Reasons for Revision**

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 20.9 Years)

Revision Diagnosis	Number	Atlas (Shell)		Number	Other Total Conventional Hip	
		% Primaries Revised	% Revisions		% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	10	1.7	16.4	4760	0.9	24.5
Prosthesis Dislocation/Instability	7	1.2	11.5	4396	0.8	22.6
Fracture	12	2.0	19.7	4336	0.8	22.3
Loosening	14	2.4	23.0	3693	0.7	19.0
Pain	1	0.2	1.6	326	0.1	1.7
Leg Length Discrepancy				297	0.1	1.5
Malposition				269	0.0	1.4
Lysis	5	0.8	8.2	206	0.0	1.1
Implant Breakage Stem	1	0.2	1.6	199	0.0	1.0
Implant Breakage Acetabular Insert	3	0.5	4.9	127	0.0	0.7
Wear Acetabular Insert	3	0.5	4.9	102	0.0	0.5
Incorrect Sizing				98	0.0	0.5
Metal Related Pathology				90	0.0	0.5
Implant Breakage Acetabular	4	0.7	6.6	68	0.0	0.3
Wear Head				43	0.0	0.2
Tumour				40	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Head	1	0.2	1.6	31	0.0	0.2
Heterotopic Bone				27	0.0	0.1
Wear Acetabulum				10	0.0	0.1
Osteonecrosis				3	0.0	0.0
Synovitis				1	0.0	0.0
Other				311	0.1	1.6
N Revision	61	10.3	100.0	19433	3.5	100.0
N Primary	595			551818		

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 20.9 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2**Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement**

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Atlas (Shell) total conventional hip prosthesis. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total conventional hip prostheses.


Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

TABLE 5

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Atlas (Shell) total conventional hip prosthesis and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Atlas (Shell) total conventional hip prosthesis compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Type of Revision (Follow-up Limited to 20.9 Years)

Type of Revision	Atlas (Shell)		Other Total Conventional Hip	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Femoral Component	19	31.1	6547	33.7
Acetabular Component	16	26.2	3406	17.5
THR (Femoral/Acetabular)	13	21.3	2240	11.5
Cement Spacer	1	1.6	593	3.1
Removal of Prostheses			98	0.5
Reinsertion of Components			29	0.1
Total Femoral			13	0.1
Bipolar Head and Femoral			9	0.0
N Major	49	80.3	12935	66.6
Head/Insert	10	16.4	5090	26.2
Head Only			922	4.7
Minor Components			305	1.6
Insert Only	2	3.3	178	0.9
Bipolar Only			1	0.0
Cement Only			1	0.0
Head/Neck			1	0.0
N Minor	12	19.7	6498	33.4
TOTAL	61	100.0	19433	100.0

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 20.9 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2024 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 6**Revision Rates of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cemented	0	1
Cementless	44	489
Hybrid (Femur Cemented)	17	104
Reverse Hybrid (Femur Cementless)	0	1
TOTAL	61	595

TABLE 7**Revision Rates of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Ceramic/Ceramic	17	78
Ceramic/Non XLPE	0	8
Ceramic/XLPE	3	47
Metal/Non XLPE	29	192
Metal/XLPE	4	78
Ceramicised Metal/Non XLPE	0	2
Ceramicised Metal/XLPE	8	190
TOTAL	61	595

TABLE 8**Revision Rates of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are used with a variety of surgical approaches. All surgical approaches used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

Approach	N Revised	N Total
Anterior	1	11
Lateral	2	31
Posterior	10	234
TOTAL	13	276

Note: Excludes 319 procedures with no approach recorded

TABLE 9**Number of Revisions of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant**

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Atlas (Shell) total conventional hip prosthesis. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2024 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 9: Number of Revisions of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2003	2	8
2004	13	56
2005	7	45
2006	14	79
2007	8	46
2008	1	16
2009	0	13
2010	0	6
2011	1	7
2012	0	4
2013	0	8
2014	2	28
2015	2	23
2016	0	13
2017	2	27
2018	1	26
2019	1	26
2020	4	35
2021	0	23
2022	1	27
2023	1	29
2024	1	50
TOTAL	61	595

TABLE 10**Revision Rates of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Component**

A prosthesis may be combined with multiple components. This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the component with which it is combined.

Table 10: Revised Number of Atlas (Shell) Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Femoral Stem Component

Femoral Stem Component	N Revised	N Total
C2	1	1
CORAIL	1	38
CPCS	0	13
Esop	29	189
Exeter V40	16	84
F2L	0	1
Friendly Hip	1	5
Furlong	0	1
Hip and Go	4	59
Pharo	1	3
Polarstem	8	191
Spectron EF	0	1
Synergy	0	8
Thira	0	1
TOTAL	61	595