ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cementless) Total Knee Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) femoral/tibial combination with all other total knee prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2022.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The revision rate of the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% Cl)
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	30	131	905	3.31 (2.24, 4.73)
Other Total Knee	26031	727564	4728220	0.55 (0.54, 0.56)
TOTAL	26061	727695	4729125	0.55 (0.54, 0.56)

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	7.7 (4.2, 13.8)	16.1 (10.8, 23.7)	19.3 (13.5, 27.2)	20.1 (14.2, 28.2)	20.1 (14.2, 28.2)	20.1 (14.2, 28.2)	21.0 (14.9, 29.1)
Other Total Knee	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)	1.9 (1.9, 1.9)	2.5 (2.4, 2.5)	2.9 (2.9, 2.9)	3.2 (3.2, 3.3)	3.6 (3.5, 3.6)	3.9 (3.8, 3.9)
CPR	8 Yrs	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	21.9 (15.6, 30.1)						
Other Total Knee	4.2 (4.1, 4.2)	4.5 (4.4, 4.5)	4.8 (4.7, 4.8)	5.1 (5.0, 5.1)	5.4 (5.3, 5.5)	5.7 (5.6, 5.8)	6.0 (6.0, 6.1)
CPR	15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)							
Other Total Knee	6.4 (6.3, 6.6)	6.9 (6.7, 7.0)	7.3 (7.1, 7.4)	7.6 (7.4, 7.8)	7.9 (7.7, 8.1)	8.2 (7.9, 8.4)	8.2 (8.0, 8.5)

FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.




Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total knee prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

	ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)		Other To	tal Knee
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	30	100.0	25221	96.9
Rheumatoid Arthritis			331	1.3
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			161	0.6
Tumour			151	0.6
Osteonecrosis			98	0.4
Fracture			49	0.2
Other			19	0.1
Chondrocalcinosis			1	0.0
TOTAL	30	100.0	26031	100.0

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primar	v Total Knee Replacement	- Reason for Revision (Follow-u	Limited to 10.4 Years)
	,		


	ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)				Other Total Knee	
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	2	1.5	6.7	6730	0.9	27.8
Loosening	15	11.5	50.0	5327	0.7	22.0
Instability	1	0.8	3.3	2346	0.3	9.7
Patellofemoral Pain	2	1.5	6.7	1968	0.3	8.1
Pain	2	1.5	6.7	1961	0.3	8.1
Patella Erosion	3	2.3	10.0	1490	0.2	6.1
Arthrofibrosis	2	1.5	6.7	982	0.1	4.0
Fracture				811	0.1	3.3
Malalignment	1	0.8	3.3	582	0.1	2.4
Incorrect Sizing	1	0.8	3.3	256	0.0	1.1
Lysis				253	0.0	1.0
Wear Tibial Insert				223	0.0	0.9
Patella Maltracking				181	0.0	0.7
Bearing Dislocation				147	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Patella				126	0.0	0.5
Implant Breakage Tibial Insert				119	0.0	0.5
Metal Related Pathology				102	0.0	0.4
Prosthesis Dislocation				75	0.0	0.3
Synovitis	1	0.8	3.3	70	0.0	0.3
Osteonecrosis				57	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Tibial				37	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Femoral				30	0.0	0.1
Tumour				25	0.0	0.1
Wear Patella				24	0.0	0.1
Heterotopic Bone				13	0.0	0.1
Wear Tibial				8	0.0	0.0
Progression Of Disease				4	0.0	0.0
Patella Dislocation				2	0.0	0.0
Wear Femoral				2	0.0	0.0
Incorrect Side				1	0.0	0.0
Other				298	0.0	1.2
N Revision	30	22.9	100.0	24250	3.3	100.0
N Primary	131			727564		


Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 10.4 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Knee Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total knee prostheses.





Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Knee Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total knee prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total knee prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination compared to all other total knee prostheses.

	ACS/ACS Mol	oile PC (cless)	Other To	otal Knee
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TKR (Tibial/Femoral)	20	66.7	5663	23.4
Tibial Component	1	3.3	2033	8.4
Cement Spacer			1315	5.4
Femoral Component			1283	5.3
Removal of Prostheses			146	0.6
Total Femoral			17	0.1
Reinsertion of Components			10	0.0
N Major	21	70.0	10467	43.2
Insert Only	1	3.3	6806	28.1
Patella Only	6	20.0	4566	18.8
Insert/Patella	2	6.7	2340	9.6
Minor Components			57	0.2
Cement Only			14	0.1
N Minor	9	30.0	13783	56.8
TOTAL	30	100.0	24250	100.0

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 10.4 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cementless	30	131
TOTAL	30	131

TABLE 7

Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this combination are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Non XLPE	30	131
TOTAL	30	131

Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing mobilities. All bearing mobilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

Bearing Mobility	N Revised	N Total
Rotating	30	131
TOTAL	30	131

TABLE 9

Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of stabilities. All stabilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 9: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

Stability	N Revised	N Total
Minimally Stabilised	30	131
TOTAL	30	131

Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total knee prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Component	State	N Revised	N Total
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	VIC	30	131
Other Total Knee	NSW	7722	253121
	VIC	5523	144356
	QLD	5690	153171
	WA	3235	77905
	SA	2837	63440
	TAS	418	16885
	ACT/NT	606	18686
TOTAL		26061	727695

Table 10: Revised Number of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

Number of Revisions of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2021 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2019 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 11: Number of Revisions of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2011	5	20
2012	7	37
2013	12	57
2014	6	17
TOTAL	30	131

Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Coating
Femoral				
ACS	42003102-42003118	FEMORAL COMPONENT POROUS COATED	NO	TIN
ACS	42210303-42210316	FEMORAL COMPONENT SLIM POROUS COATED	NO	
Tibial				
ACS Mobile	42010102-42010107	MB TIBIAL COMPONENT BASIC POROUS COATED	NO	TIN

Table 12: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Range	Tibial Range	N Revised	N Total
42003102-42003118 420	010102-42010107	21	102
42210303-42210316 420	010102-42010107	9	29
TOTAL		30	131