

Lubinus/Lubinus Patella-Trochlear Knee Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Lubinus/Lubinus patella/trochlear combination with all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, <https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2022>.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement

The revision rate of the Lubinus/Lubinus patella-trochlear knee combination is compared to all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% CI)
Lubinus/Lubinus	22	39	400	5.50 (3.45, 8.33)
Other Patella-Trochlear Knee	563	3634	21060	2.67 (2.46, 2.90)
TOTAL	585	3673	21460	2.73 (2.51, 2.96)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 2

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Lubinus/Lubinus patella-trochlear knee combination is compared to all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs
Lubinus/Lubinus	5.1 (1.3, 19.0)	12.8 (5.5, 28.1)	18.1 (9.1, 34.3)	18.1 (9.1, 34.3)	20.9 (11.0, 37.6)	29.4 (17.4, 46.9)	29.4 (17.4, 46.9)
Other Patella-Trochlear Knee	1.7 (1.3, 2.2)	4.2 (3.5, 4.9)	7.0 (6.2, 8.0)	9.4 (8.4, 10.6)	11.6 (10.5, 12.9)	13.6 (12.3, 15.0)	16.8 (15.3, 18.4)

CPR	8 Yrs	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs
Lubinus/Lubinus	32.2 (19.7, 49.8)	35.0 (22.0, 52.7)	35.0 (22.0, 52.7)	38.0 (24.5, 55.7)	41.3 (27.2, 59.1)	51.3 (35.8, 68.9)	58.2 (42.1, 75.2)
Other Patella-Trochlear Knee	18.7 (17.1, 20.4)	21.3 (19.5, 23.2)	24.0 (22.1, 26.2)	26.1 (24.0, 28.5)	29.0 (26.5, 31.7)	32.2 (29.3, 35.4)	34.7 (31.3, 38.3)

CPR	15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs
Lubinus/Lubinus	58.2 (42.1, 75.2)	58.2 (42.1, 75.2)	58.2 (42.1, 75.2)	62.9 (46.0, 79.6)			
Other Patella-Trochlear Knee	37.8 (33.7, 42.2)						

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.


FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Lubinus/Lubinus patella-trochlear knee combination is compared to all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.

Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement



Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 3**Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement**

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement

Primary Diagnosis	Lubinus/Lubinus		Other Patella-Trochlear Knee	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	22	100.0	557	98.9
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			3	0.5
Rheumatoid Arthritis			2	0.4
Other			1	0.2
TOTAL	22	100.0	563	100.0

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 4

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement - Reason for Revision

Revision Diagnosis	Lubinus/Lubinus			Other Patella-Trochlear Knee		
	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Progression Of Disease	12	30.8	54.5	347	9.5	61.6
Loosening	4	10.3	18.2	73	2.0	13.0
Pain	1	2.6	4.5	57	1.6	10.1
Infection				19	0.5	3.4
Wear Patella				10	0.3	1.8
Malalignment				9	0.2	1.6
Fracture	1	2.6	4.5	8	0.2	1.4
Patella Maltracking				7	0.2	1.2
Lysis				6	0.2	1.1
Instability	1	2.6	4.5	5	0.1	0.9
Implant Breakage Patella	2	5.1	9.1	4	0.1	0.7
Wear Tibial Insert				4	0.1	0.7
Prosthesis Dislocation				3	0.1	0.5
Arthrofibrosis				2	0.1	0.4
Incorrect Sizing				2	0.1	0.4
Osteonecrosis	1	2.6	4.5	2	0.1	0.4
Patellofemoral Pain				2	0.1	0.4
Implant Breakage Femoral				1	0.0	0.2
Other				2	0.1	0.4
N Revision	22	56.4	100.0	563	15.5	100.0
N Primary	39			3634		

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Lubinus/Lubinus patella-trochlear knee combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses.

Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement




TABLE 5

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Lubinus/Lubinus patella-trochlear knee combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Lubinus/Lubinus patella-trochlear knee combination compared to all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement - Type of Revision

Type of Revision	Lubinus/Lubinus		Other Patella-Trochlear Knee	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TKR (Tibial/Femoral)	20	90.9	497	88.3
Patella/Trochlear Resurfacing	1	4.5	14	2.5
UKR (Uni Tibial/Uni Femoral)			13	2.3
Removal of Prostheses			5	0.9
Cement Spacer			3	0.5
Femoral Component			3	0.5
N Major	21	95.5	535	95.0
Patella Only	1	4.5	28	5.0
N Minor	1	4.5	28	5.0
TOTAL	22	100.0	563	100.0

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 6

Revision Rates of Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Lubinus/Lubinus patella-trochlear knee combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other patella-trochlear knee prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Table 6: Revised Number of Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement by State

Component	State	N Revised	N Total
Lubinus/Lubinus	NSW	13	24
	VIC	5	5
	QLD	2	6
	WA	0	1
	TAS	1	1
	ACT/NT	1	2
	Other Patella-Trochlear Knee	NSW	214
	VIC	165	1078
	QLD	60	469
	WA	37	290
	SA	65	405
	TAS	4	41
	ACT/NT	18	92
TOTAL		585	3673

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 7**Number of Revisions of Lubinus/Lubinus Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement by Year of Implant**

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Lubinus/Lubinus patella-trochlear knee combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2021 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2019 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 7: Number of Revisions of Lubinus/Lubinus Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2001	8	9
2002	5	10
2003	5	11
2004	1	2
2005	1	4
2006	0	1
2009	2	2
TOTAL	22	39

TABLE 8

Revision Rates of Lubinus/Lubinus Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Lubinus/Lubinus prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material
Patella				
Lubinus	330101-330111	POLY ECCENTRIC PATELLA COMPONENT	YES	NON CROSS-LINKED POLYETHYLENE
Lubinus	330130-330140	POLY CENTRIC PATELLA COMPONENT	YES	NON CROSS-LINKED POLYETHYLENE
Trochlear				
Lubinus	330150-330167	STANDARD RS TROCHLEAR FEMORAL COMPONENT	YES	

Table 8: Revised Number of Lubinus/Lubinus Primary Patella-Trochlear Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Patella Range	Trochlear Range	N Revised	N Total
330101-330111	330150-330167	1	5
330130-330140	330150-330167	21	34
TOTAL		22	39