# ASR/ASR Total Resurfacing Hip Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the ASR/ASR head/acetabular combination with all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2022.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

## TABLE 1

#### Revision Rate of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

The revision rate of the ASR/ASR total resurfacing hip combination is compared to all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

#### Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% Cl)
ASR/ASR	409	1168	13805	2.96 (2.68, 3.26)
Other Total Resurfacing Hip	1141	15004	175448	0.65 (0.61, 0.69)
TOTAL	1550	16172	189253	0.82 (0.78, 0.86)

## Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the ASR/ASR total resurfacing hip combination is compared to all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs
ASR/ASR	3.4 (2.5, 4.6)	5.1 (4.0, 6.6)	7.2 (5.9, 8.8)	10.3 (8.7, 12.2)	15.5 (13.5, 17.7)	19.4 (17.3, 21.8)	23.2 (20.9, 25.7)
Other Total Resurfacing Hip	1.3 (1.2, 1.5)	1.9 (1.7, 2.1)	2.3 (2.1, 2.6)	2.7 (2.5, 3.0)	3.3 (3.0, 3.6)	3.8 (3.5, 4.1)	4.4 (4.1, 4.8)
CDD	0.\/~~	0.1/	10 \/	11 V	10 \/	12 \/	14.1/1-1
CPR	8 Yrs	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs
ASR/ASR	26.1 (23.6,	28.0 (25.5,	29.9 (27.4,	31.4 (28.8,	32.6 (30.0,	33.9 (31.3,	35.2 (32.5,
	28.7)	30.7)	32.7)	34.1)	35.4)	36.8)	38.1)
Other Total Resurfacing Hip	5.0 (4.7, 5.4)	5.5 (5.2, 6.0)	6.3 (5.9, 6.8)	6.9 (6.5, 7.4)	7.5 (7.0, 8.0)	8.1 (7.7, 8.7)	8.7 (8.2, 9.2)
CPR	15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs
ASR/ASR	35.6 (32.9, 38.5)	36.0 (33.2, 38.9)	36.3 (33.5, 39.2)				
Other Total Resurfacing Hip	9.3 (8.8, 9.9)	9.9 (9.3, 10.5)	10.3 (9.8, 11.0)	10.7 (10.1, 11.4)	11.3 (10.6, 12.0)	11.7 (10.9, 12.5)	12.7 (11.4, 14.2)

## Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

#### FIGURE 1

## Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the ASR/ASR total resurfacing hip combination is compared to all other total resurfacing hip prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.




Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

790

0

81

## Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

## Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

	ASR/ASR		Other Total Re	esurfacing Hip
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	371	90.7	1042	91.3
Developmental Dysplasia	31	7.6	48	4.2
Osteonecrosis	4	1.0	34	3.0
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			10	0.9
Rheumatoid Arthritis	3	0.7	6	0.5
Other			1	0.1
TOTAL	409	100.0	1141	100.0

### **Reasons for Revision**

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

**% Primaries Revised:** This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

**% Revisions:** The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

		ASR/ASR	Other Total Resurfacing Hip			
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Loosening	61	5.2	14.9	301	2.0	26.8
Metal Related Pathology	237	20.3	57.9	236	1.6	21.0
Fracture	36	3.1	8.8	219	1.5	19.5
Lysis	25	2.1	6.1	122	0.8	10.9
Infection	17	1.5	4.2	75	0.5	6.7
Pain	20	1.7	4.9	63	0.4	5.6
Prosthesis Dislocation/Instability	2	0.2	0.5	29	0.2	2.6
Osteonecrosis	6	0.5	1.5	26	0.2	2.3
Malposition	1	0.1	0.2	19	0.1	1.7
Tumour				4	0.0	0.4
Wear Acetabulum				4	0.0	0.4
Implant Breakage Acetabular	1	0.1	0.2	2	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Head	2	0.2	0.5			
Leg Length Discrepancy				2	0.0	0.2
Progression Of Disease				2	0.0	0.2
Heterotopic Bone				1	0.0	0.1
Incorrect Sizing				1	0.0	0.1
Synovitis				1	0.0	0.1
Other	1	0.1	0.2	17	0.1	1.5
N Revision	409	35.0	100.0	1124	7.5	100.0
N Primary	1168			15004		

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 18.4 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

#### FIGURE 2

## Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the ASR/ASR total resurfacing hip combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.




Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

## Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the ASR/ASR total resurfacing hip combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total resurfacing hip prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the ASR/ASR total resurfacing hip combination compared to all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement - Type of Revision (Follow-up Limited to 18.4 Years)						
	ASR	/ASR	Other Total Re	surfacing Hip		
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
THR (Femoral/Acetabular)	354	86.6	773	68.8		
Femoral Component	46	11.2	280	24.9		
Acetabular Component	6	1.5	37	3.3		
Cement Spacer	2	0.5	27	2.4		
Removal of Prostheses	1	0.2	7	0.6		
N Major	409	100.0	1124	100.0		
TOTAL	409	100.0	1124	100.0		

# Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 18.4 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2021 are excluded from the comparator.

## Revision Rates of ASR/ASR Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

#### Table 6: Revised Number of ASR/ASR Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cemented	1	9
Hybrid (Femur Cemented)	408	1159
TOTAL	409	1168

## Revision Rates of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the ASR/ASR total resurfacing hip combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Component	State	N Revised	N Total	
ASR/ASR	NSW	84	251	
	VIC	79	245	
	QLD	23	87	
	WA	2	3	
	SA	212	561	
	TAS	1	4	
	ACT/NT	8	17	
Other Total Resurfacing Hip	NSW	270	3924	
	VIC	419	5020	
	QLD	254	3790	
	WA	58	952	
	SA	86	608	
	TAS	1	36	
	ACT/NT	53	674	
TOTAL		1550	16172	

Table 7: Revised Number of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by State

## Number of Revisions of ASR/ASR Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the ASR/ASR total resurfacing hip combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2021 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2019 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

## Table 8: Number of Revisions of ASR/ASR Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2003	11	43
2004	57	165
2005	100	302
2006	108	258
2007	66	176
2008	46	133
2009	21	91
TOTAL	409	1168

### Revision Rates of ASR/ASR Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular ASR/ASR prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material	Coating	Fixation
Head						
ASR	999801532-999801556	MOM RS FEMORAL HEAD	YES	METAL		
ASR	999803239-999805763	ASR TOTAL FEMORAL IMPLANT	YES	METAL		
Acetabular						
ASR	999800044-999800070	MOM RS STANDARD ACETABULAR CUP	NO	METAL		POROUS
ASR	999803944-999806370	ASR TOTAL ACETABULAR IMPLANT	NO	METAL	HA COATED	

#### Table 9: Revised Number of ASR/ASR Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Head Range	Acetabular Range	N Revised	N Total	
999801532-999801556 99	99800044-999800070	17	59	
999803239-999805763 99	99803944-999806370	392	1109	
TOTAL		409	1168	