Mutars/Mutars Total Knee Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Mutars/Mutars femoral/tibial combination with all other total knee prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2024.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2023 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The revision rate of the Mutars/Mutars total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% Cl)
Mutars/Mutars	69	436	1100	6.27 (4.88, 7.94)
Other Total Knee	24862	735647	4686963	0.53 (0.52, 0.54)
TOTAL	24931	736083	4688062	0.53 (0.53, 0.54)

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Mutars/Mutars total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Mutars/Mutars	7.0 (4.8, 10.0)	12.6 (9.4, 16.7)	17.2 (13.3, 22.2)	21.5 (16.7, 27.4)	25.5 (19.7, 32.5)			
Other Total Knee	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.8 (1.8, 1.8)	2.4 (2.3, 2.4)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.1 (3.1, 3.1)	3.4 (3.4, 3.5)	3.7 (3.7, 3.8)	4.0 (4.0, 4.1)
CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs
Mutars/Mutars								
Other Total Knee	4.3 (4.3, 4.4)	4.6 (4.6, 4.7)	4.9 (4.8, 5.0)	5.2 (5.1, 5.3)	5.5 (5.5, 5.6)	5.8 (5.7, 5.9)	6.2 (6.1, 6.3)	6.6 (6.5, 6.7)
CPR	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yr:	s 20`	Yrs 2	1 Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs
Mutars/Mutars								
Other Total Knee	7.0 (6.8, 7.1) 7.3 (7.1, 7	7.4) 7.5 (7.3	3, 7.7) 7.7 (7	7.5, 7.9) 8.0	(7.7, 8.3)	8.2 (7.9, 8.6)	8.2 (7.9, 8.6)

FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Mutars/Mutars total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.



Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2023 are excluded from the comparator.

11 Yrs

23 Yrs

0

0

297

Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total knee prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

	Mutars,	/Mutars	Other To	tal Knee
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	21	30.4	24105	97.0
Rheumatoid Arthritis	1	1.4	312	1.3
Other Inflammatory Arthritis	1	1.4	152	0.6
Tumour	37	53.6	141	0.6
Osteonecrosis			89	0.4
Fracture	9	13.0	39	0.2
Other			23	0.1
Chondrocalcinosis			1	0.0
TOTAL	69	100.0	24862	100.0

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Knee Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 10.8 Years)

		Mutars/Mutars			Other Total Knee	
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	23	5.3	33.3	6768	0.9	28.9
Loosening	19	4.4	27.5	4916	0.7	21.0
Instability	2	0.5	2.9	2437	0.3	10.4
Pain	2	0.5	2.9	1741	0.2	7.4
Patella Erosion				1614	0.2	6.9
Patellofemoral Pain				1556	0.2	6.6
Arthrofibrosis				1012	0.1	4.3
Fracture	6	1.4	8.7	873	0.1	3.7
Malalignment				519	0.1	2.2
Wear Tibial Insert				249	0.0	1.1
Lysis				240	0.0	1.0
Incorrect Sizing				228	0.0	1.0
Patella Maltracking				179	0.0	0.8
Implant Breakage Tibial Insert				137	0.0	0.6
Bearing Dislocation				135	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Patella				124	0.0	0.5
Metal Related Pathology	5	1.1	7.2	88	0.0	0.4
Prosthesis Dislocation				79	0.0	0.3
Synovitis				62	0.0	0.3
Osteonecrosis				50	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Tibial				32	0.0	0.1
Wear Patella				31	0.0	0.1
Implant Breakage Femoral	7	1.6	10.1	30	0.0	0.1
Tumour	4	0.9	5.8	23	0.0	0.1
Heterotopic Bone				12	0.0	0.1
Progression Of Disease				5	0.0	0.0
Wear Tibial				5	0.0	0.0
Patella Dislocation				2	0.0	0.0
Incorrect Side				1	0.0	0.0
Wear Femoral				1	0.0	0.0
Other	1	0.2	1.4	297	0.0	1.3
N Revision	69	15.8	100.0	23446	3.2	100.0
N Primary	436			735647		

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 10.8 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2023 are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Knee Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Mutars/Mutars total knee combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total knee prostheses.



Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Knee Replacement

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Knee Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Mutars/Mutars total knee combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total knee prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total knee prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Mutars/Mutars total knee combination compared to all other total knee prostheses.

	Mutars	/Mutars	Other To	tal Knee
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TKR (Tibial/Femoral)	11	15.9	5431	23.2
Tibial Component	4	5.8	1806	7.7
Femoral Component	30	43.5	1202	5.1
Cement Spacer	3	4.3	1140	4.9
Removal of Prostheses			126	0.5
Total Femoral	4	5.8	17	0.1
Reinsertion of Components			7	0.0
N Major	52	75.4	9729	41.5
Insert Only	10	14.5	7197	30.7
Patella Only	2	2.9	4148	17.7
Insert/Patella	4	5.8	2309	9.8
Minor Components	1	1.4	55	0.2
Cement Only			8	0.0
N Minor	17	24.6	13717	58.5
TOTAL	69	100.0	23446	100.0

Table 5: Primar	y Total Knee Re	placement - Ty	pe of Revision (Foll	ow-up Limited to 10.8 Years)
-----------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------	------------------------------

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 10.8 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2023 are excluded from the comparator.

Revision Rates of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total	
Cemented	56	380	
Cementless	0	4	
Hybrid (Tibial Cemented)	13	44	
Hybrid (Tibial Cementless)	0	8	
TOTAL	69	436	

TABLE 7

Revision Rates of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this combination are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total	
Non XLPE	69	434	
Unknown	0	2	
TOTAL	69	436	

Revision Rates of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing mobilities. All bearing mobilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

Bearing Mobility	N Revised	N Total
Fixed	16	58
Rotating	53	376
Unknown	0	2
TOTAL	69	436

TABLE 9

Revision Rates of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of stabilities. All stabilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 9: Revised Number of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

Stability	N Revised	N Total	
Hinged	53	376	
Minimally Stabilised	16	58	
Unknown	0	2	
TOTAL	69	436	

Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Mutars/Mutars total knee combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total knee prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Component	State	N Revised	N Total	
Mutars/Mutars	NSW	13	69	
	VIC	19	78	
	QLD	13	157	
	WA	17	75	
	SA	7	41	
	TAS	0	14	
	ACT/NT	0	2	
Other Total Knee	NSW	7313	251190	
	VIC	5355	148588	
	QLD	5348	153500	
	WA	3047	80050	
	SA	2749	63970	
	TAS	458	18772	
	ACT/NT	592	19577	
TOTAL		24931	736083	

Table 10: Revised Number of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

Number of Revisions of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Mutars/Mutars total knee combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2023 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2021 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 11: Number of Revisions of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2012	0	2
2013	3	12
2014	1	8
2015	8	15
2016	4	14
2017	4	26
2018	13	32
2019	12	63
2020	6	56
2021	6	63
2022	8	70
2023	4	75
TOTAL	69	436

Revision Rates of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Mutars/Mutars prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material	Coating
Femoral					
Mutars	57200030-57200095	DISTAL FEMUR MOM INCL SAFETY SCREW	NO		
Mutars	57200040N-57200048N	DISTAL FEMUR MOM INCL SAFETY SCREW TIN COATED	NO		TIN
Mutars	57200040S-57200090S	DISTAL FEMUR MOM SILVER COATED	NO		SILVER
Mutars	57200040SN-57200048SN	DISTAL FEMUR MOM INCL SAFETY SCREW SILVER TIN COATED	NO		TIN
Mutars	57200210N-57200235N	GENUX FEMORAL COMPONENT CEMENTLESS	NO		TIN
Mutars	57200310-57200535	GENUX HINGE FEMORAL COMPONENT	YES		
Mutars	57200310N-57200335N	GENUX HINGE FEMORAL COMPONENT TIN COATED	YES		TIN
Mutars	57200500N-57200545N	GENUX MK FEMORAL COMPONENT TIN	YES		TIN
Mutars	57201405N-57201405N	GENUX MK FEMORAL COMPONENT TIN CEMENTLESS	NO		
Mutars	67700011S-67700021S	ARTHRODESIS FEMORAL COMPONENT	NO		SILVER
Tibial					
Mutars	57500003-57500003	PROXIMAL TIBIA	YES	METAL	
Mutars	57500003S-57500005S	PROXIMAL TIBIA	NO	METAL	SILVER
Mutars	57503010N-57503010N	CUSTOM MADE BIOXPAND TIBIAL PLATEAU	NO		
Mutars	57510300N-57510310N	TIBIAL PLATEAU CEMENTED COATED WITH TIN	YES		TIN
Mutars	57510300S-57510310S	GENUX SILVER TIBIAL PLATEAU	YES		SILVER
Mutars	57510603N-57510606N	GENUX MK TIBIA INCL COUNTER SCREW	YES		TIN
Mutars	67700031S-67700031S	ARTHRODESIS TIBIAL COMPONENT	NO	METAL	SILVER

Table 12: Revised Number of Mutars/Mutars Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Range	Tibial Range	N Revised	N Total
57200030-57200095	57503010N-57503010N	0	1
	57510603N-57510606N	0	2
57200040N-57200048N	57510603N-57510606N	0	2
57200040S-57200090S	57503010N-57503010N	1	1
	57510300S-57510310S	1	3
	57510603N-57510606N	0	2
57200040SN-57200048SN	57510300S-57510310S	11	29
	57510603N-57510606N	38	176
57200210N-57200235N	57500003S-57500005S	0	3
57200310-57200535	57500003-57500003	0	1
57200310N-57200335N	57500003S-57500005S	1	3
	57510300N-57510310N	1	2
	57510300S-57510310S	0	5
57200500N-57200545N	57500003S-57500005S	1	19
	57510300S-57510310S	1	2
	57510603N-57510606N	14	183
57201405N-57201405N	57500003S-57500005S	0	1
67700011S-67700021S	67700031S-67700031S	0	1
TOTAL		69	436