Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Total Knee Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS femoral/tibial combination with all other total knee prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2024.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2023 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The revision rate of the Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% CI)
Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS	14	55	572	2.45 (1.34, 4.11)
Other Total Knee	24931	736083	4688062	0.53 (0.53, 0.54)
TOTAL	24945	736138	4688634	0.53 (0.53, 0.54)

TABLE 2

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS	1.8 (0.3, 12.2)	10.9 (5.1, 22.7)	16.4 (8.9, 29.1)	16.4 (8.9, 29.1)	20.0 (11.6, 33.3)	22.0 (13.1, 35.5)	22.0 (13.1, 35.5)	22.0 (13.1, 35.5)
Other Total Knee	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.8 (1.8, 1.8)	2.4 (2.3, 2.4)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.1 (3.1, 3.2)	3.4 (3.4, 3.5)	3.7 (3.7, 3.8)	4.0 (4.0, 4.1)
CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs
Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS	24.4 (14.9, 38.5)	27.6 (17.1, 42.6)	27.6 (17.1, 42.6)	27.6 (17.1, 42.6)				
Other Total Knee	4.3 (4.3, 4.4)	4.6 (4.6, 4.7)	4.9 (4.9, 5.0)	5.2 (5.2, 5.3)	5.5 (5.5, 5.6)	5.8 (5.7, 5.9)	6.2 (6.1, 6.3)	6.6 (6.5, 6.8)
CPR	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yr	s 20	Yrs 2	1 Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs
Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS	27.6 (17.1 42.6	•						
Other Total Knee	7.0 (6.8, 7.1) 7.3 (7.1,	7.5) 7.5 (7.3	3, 7.7) 7.7 (7	7.5, 8.0) 8.0	(7.7, 8.3)	3.2 (7.9, 8.6)	8.2 (7.9, 8.6)


FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.

Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement



Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total knee prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

	Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS		Other To	tal Knee
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	12	85.7	24126	96.8
Rheumatoid Arthritis	1	7.1	313	1.3
Tumour			178	0.7
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			153	0.6
Osteonecrosis	1	7.1	89	0.4
Fracture			48	0.2
Other			23	0.1
Chondrocalcinosis			1	0.0
TOTAL	14	100.0	24931	100.0

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Knee Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 19.1 Years)

	Ор	tetrak-PS/Optetral	c-PS		Other Total Knee	
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection				7002	1.0	28.1
Loosening	1	1.8	7.1	5292	0.7	21.3
Instability				2560	0.3	10.3
Pain	4	7.3	28.6	1832	0.2	7.4
Patella Erosion				1791	0.2	7.2
Patellofemoral Pain	6	10.9	42.9	1631	0.2	6.5
Arthrofibrosis				1019	0.1	4.1
Fracture				990	0.1	4.0
Malalignment				525	0.1	2.1
Wear Tibial Insert				341	0.0	1.4
Lysis				281	0.0	1.1
Incorrect Sizing				229	0.0	0.9
Implant Breakage Tibial Insert				182	0.0	0.7
Patella Maltracking	3	5.5	21.4	180	0.0	0.7
Bearing Dislocation				140	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Patella				130	0.0	0.5
Metal Related Pathology				101	0.0	0.4
Prosthesis Dislocation				80	0.0	0.3
Synovitis				64	0.0	0.3
Osteonecrosis				50	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Femoral				44	0.0	0.2
Wear Patella				42	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Tibial				34	0.0	0.1
Tumour				31	0.0	0.1
Heterotopic Bone				14	0.0	0.1
Progression Of Disease				7	0.0	0.0
Wear Tibial				5	0.0	0.0
Patella Dislocation				2	0.0	0.0
Incorrect Side				1	0.0	0.0
Wear Femoral				1	0.0	0.0
Other				302	0.0	1.2
N Revision	14	25.5	100.0	24903	3.4	100.0
N Primary	55			736083		


Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 19.1 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Knee Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS total knee combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total knee prostheses.

Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Knee Replacement



Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Knee Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS total knee combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total knee prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total knee prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS total knee combination compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Knee Replacement - Type of Revision (Follow-up Limited to 19.1 Years)

rable 5.11mmary rotal in	Optetrak-PS/		Other To	Other Total Knee		
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
TKR (Tibial/Femoral)	1	7.1	5957	23.9		
Tibial Component			1856	7.5		
Femoral Component			1259	5.1		
Cement Spacer			1174	4.7		
Removal of Prostheses			130	0.5		
Total Femoral			22	0.1		
Reinsertion of Components			7	0.0		
N Major	1	7.1	10405	41.8		
Insert Only			7463	30.0		
Patella Only	13	92.9	4323	17.4		
Insert/Patella			2646	10.6		
Minor Components			57	0.2		
Cement Only			9	0.0		
N Minor	13	92.9	14498	58.2		
TOTAL	14	100.0	24903	100.0		

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 19.1 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2023 are excluded from the comparator.

Revision Rates of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cemented	14	55
TOTAL	14	55

TABLE 7

Revision Rates of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this combination are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Non XLPE	14	55
TOTAL	14	55

Revision Rates of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing mobilities. All bearing mobilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

Bearing Mobility	N Revised	N Total
Fixed	14	55
TOTAL	14	55

TABLE 9

Revision Rates of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of stabilities. All stabilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 9: Revised Number of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

Stability	N Revised	N Total		
Posterior Stabilised	14	55		
TOTAL	14	55		

Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS total knee combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total knee prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Table 10: Revised Number of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

Component	State	N Revised	N Total
Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS	QLD	14	55
Other Total Knee	NSW	7326	251259
	VIC	5374	148666
	QLD	5361	153657
	WA	3064	80125
	SA	2756	64011
	TAS	458	18786
	ACT/NT	592	19579
TOTAL		24945	736138

Number of Revisions of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS total knee combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2023 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2021 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 11: Number of Revisions of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2004	2	8
2005	3	14
2006	4	18
2007	5	15
TOTAL	14	55

Revision Rates of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Mobility	Stability	Material
Femoral						
Optetrak-PS	2040101-2040106	PS CEMENTED FEMORAL COMPONENT	YES			
Optetrak-PS	2340200-2340306	PS CEMENTED ASYMMETRIC FEMORAL COMPONENT	YES			
Tibial						
Optetrak-PS	2041209-2041413	PS ALL POLYETHYLENE TIBIAL COMPONENT	YES	FIXED	POSTERIOR STABILISED	NON CROSS-LINKED POLYETHYLENE

Table 12: Revised Number of Optetrak-PS/Optetrak-PS Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Range Tibial Range	N Revised	N Total
2040101-2040106 2041209-2041413	6	24
2340200-2340306 2041209-2041413	8	31
TOTAL	14	55