Taper Fit Total Conventional Hip Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Taper Fit femoral stem prosthesis with all other total conventional hip prostheses.

This prosthesis has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2024.

Note: Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2023 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The revision rate of the Taper Fit total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% Cl)
Taper Fit	190	6234	22344	0.85 (0.73, 0.98)
Other Total Conventional Hip	19113	532638	3435782	0.56 (0.55, 0.56)
TOTAL	19303	538872	3458126	0.56 (0.55, 0.57)

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Taper Fit total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Taper Fit	1.6 (1.3, 1.9)	2.0 (1.7, 2.4)	2.4 (2.0, 2.9)	2.9 (2.4, 3.4)	3.4 (2.9, 4.1)	4.1 (3.4, 4.9)	4.7 (3.9, 5.7)	5.2 (4.2, 6.3)
Other Total Conventional Hip	1.7 (1.7, 1.8)	2.2 (2.1, 2.2)	2.5 (2.5, 2.5)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.1 (3.0, 3.1)	3.3 (3.3, 3.4)	3.6 (3.6, 3.7)	3.9 (3.8, 4.0)
CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs
Taper Fit	5.7 (4.5, 7.0)	7.3 (5.6, 9.4)	7.7 (5.9, 9.9)	7.7 (5.9, 9.9)	10.9 (7.9, 14.8)	11.5 (8.4, 15.7)	13.8 (10.1, 18.9)	15.5 (11.3, 21.1)
Other Total Conventional Hip	4.2 (4.2, 4.3)	4.5 (4.5, 4.6)	4.9 (4.8, 5.0)	5.3 (5.2, 5.4)	5.7 (5.6, 5.8)	6.1 (5.9, 6.2)	6.5 (6.3, 6.6)	6.9 (6.7, 7.0)
CPR	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	s 21	Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs
Taper Fit	17.5 (12.7, 23.7)	19.0 (13.7, 26.0)	,)					
Other Total Conventional Hip	7.3 (7.1, 7.4)	7.6 (7.5, 7.8)	8.2 (8.0, 8	.4) 8.5 (8.2,	8.7) 9.0 (8	3.7, 9.3) 9.7	(9.2, 10.1)	10.3 (9.5, 11.2)

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Taper Fit total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.





Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

	Таре	er Fit	Other Total Co	nventional Hip
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	151	79.5	15841	82.9
Fractured Neck Of Femur	16	8.4	1407	7.4
Osteonecrosis	11	5.8	852	4.5
Developmental Dysplasia			320	1.7
Rheumatoid Arthritis	3	1.6	205	1.1
Failed Internal Fixation			151	0.8
Tumour	5	2.6	148	0.8
Other Inflammatory Arthritis	2	1.1	105	0.5
Fracture/Dislocation	1	0.5	52	0.3
Other	1	0.5	17	0.1
Arthrodesis Takedown			15	0.1
TOTAL	190	100.0	19113	100.0

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

	T () C ()		
Table 4: Primary	y Total Conventional	Hip Replacement	- Reason for Revision

		Taper Fit		Othe	r Total Conventiona	al Hip
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	47	0.8	24.7	4468	0.8	23.4
Prosthesis Dislocation/Instability	46	0.7	24.2	4339	0.8	22.7
Fracture	41	0.7	21.6	4234	0.8	22.2
Loosening	35	0.6	18.4	3811	0.7	19.9
Pain	3	0.0	1.6	335	0.1	1.8
Leg Length Discrepancy				291	0.1	1.5
Malposition	3	0.0	1.6	266	0.0	1.4
Lysis				217	0.0	1.1
Implant Breakage Stem	7	0.1	3.7	192	0.0	1.0
Implant Breakage Acetabular Insert	1	0.0	0.5	130	0.0	0.7
Wear Acetabular Insert				113	0.0	0.6
Incorrect Sizing	1	0.0	0.5	103	0.0	0.5
Metal Related Pathology	1	0.0	0.5	84	0.0	0.4
Implant Breakage Acetabular				72	0.0	0.4
Wear Head				48	0.0	0.3
Tumour	1	0.0	0.5	43	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Head				33	0.0	0.2
Heterotopic Bone				26	0.0	0.1
Wear Acetabulum	2	0.0	1.1	11	0.0	0.1
Osteonecrosis				2	0.0	0.0
Progression Of Disease				2	0.0	0.0
Synovitis				1	0.0	0.0
Other	2	0.0	1.1	292	0.1	1.5
N Revision	190	3.0	100.0	19113	3.6	100.0
N Primary	6234			532638		

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Taper Fit total conventional hip prosthesis. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total conventional hip prostheses.



Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Taper Fit total conventional hip prosthesis and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Taper Fit total conventional hip prosthesis compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

	Тар	er Fit	Other Total Co	nventional Hip
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Femoral Component	49	25.8	6308	33.0
Acetabular Component	41	21.6	3583	18.7
THR (Femoral/Acetabular)	40	21.1	2237	11.7
Cement Spacer	8	4.2	617	3.2
Removal of Prostheses	2	1.1	98	0.5
Reinsertion of Components			28	0.1
Total Femoral			9	0.0
Bipolar Head and Femoral			7	0.0
Saddle			1	0.0
N Major	140	73.7	12888	67.4
Head/Insert	42	22.1	4804	25.1
Head Only	4	2.1	923	4.8
Minor Components	3	1.6	308	1.6
Insert Only	1	0.5	186	1.0
Bipolar Only			2	0.0
Cement Only			1	0.0
Head/Neck			1	0.0
N Minor	50	26.3	6225	32.6
TOTAL	190	100.0	19113	100.0

Revision Rates of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cemented	24	227
Cementless	1	3
Hybrid (Femur Cemented)	165	6004
TOTAL	190	6234

TABLE 7

Revision Rates of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total	
Ceramic/Ceramic	15	369	
Ceramic/Non XLPE	1	30	
Ceramic/XLPE	4	187	
Ceramic/XLPE + Antioxidant	73	3558	
Metal/Metal	34	167	
Metal/Non XLPE	24	208	
Metal/XLPE	0	77	
Metal/XLPE + Antioxidant	39	1636	
Unknown	0	2	
TOTAL	190	6234	

Revision Rates of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are used with a variety of surgical approaches. All surgical approaches used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

Approach	N Revised	N Total
Anterior	9	893
Lateral	19	690
Posterior	98	4070
TOTAL	126	5653

Note: Excludes 581 procedures with no approach recorded

Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Taper Fit total conventional hip prosthesis and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Component	State	N Revised	N Total	
Taper Fit	NSW	113	3444	
	VIC	19	940	
	QLD	37	780	
	WA	6	401	
	SA	2	57	
	TAS	1	50	
	ACT/NT	12	562	
Other Total Conventional Hip	NSW	5127	154487	
	VIC	4845	139764	
	QLD	3769	93880	
	WA	2540	62864	
	SA	1824	49347	
	TAS	451	18148	
	ACT/NT	557	14148	
TOTAL		19303	538872	

Table 9: Revised Number of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

Number of Revisions of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Taper Fit total conventional hip prosthesis. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2023 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2021 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 10: Number of Revisions of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2001	2	14
2002	2	16
2003	6	34
2004	13	65
2005	15	50
2006	8	66
2007	2	26
2008	1	18
2009	1	6
2010	1	8
2011	2	17
2012	1	55
2013	1	45
2014	6	110
2015	5	161
2016	11	227
2017	11	315
2018	20	592
2019	24	790
2020	14	789
2021	15	798
2022	15	853
2023	14	1179
TOTAL	190	6234

Revision Rates of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Taper Fit prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material	Fixation
Femoral Stem					
Taper Fit	188001-188013	STAINLESS STEEL FEMORAL STEM W/CENTRALISER	YES	METAL	POLISHED
Taper Fit	5883600-5885004	STAINLESS STEEL FEMORAL STEM W/CENTRALISER	YES	METAL	POLISHED
Taper Fit	5883810-5884510	TAPERFIT CEMENT IN CEMENT REVISION STEM	YES	METAL	POLISHED
Taper Fit	E088000-E088014	EUROCONE STAINLESS STEEL FEMORAL STEM W/CENTRALISER	YES	METAL	POLISHED

Table 11: Revised Number of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Stem Range	N Revised	N Total	
188001-188013	4	21	
5883600-5885004	137	5920	
5883810-5884510	0	2	
E088000-E088014	49	291	
TOTAL	190	6234	

Revision Rates of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Component

A prosthesis may be combined with multiple components. This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the component with which it is combined.

Table 12: Revised Number of Taper Fit Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Acetabular Component

Acetabular Component	N Revised	N Total	
Acetabular Shell (Global)	0	2	
Alpha Lock	2	31	
Avantage	0	6	
BI-MENTUM	0	1	
Cenator	5	37	
Cera Fit	1	8	
Contemporary	0	26	
Continuum	0	3	
Contour	0	1	
Cormet	31	157	
Cormet 2000	3	10	
DC-Fit	0	1	
Delta-One-TT	0	2	
Dual Mobility Cup	3	83	
Exeter X3 Rimfit	0	6	
G7	0	47	
G7 Multihole	0	6	
Generic Shell	0	1	
Horizon	0	1	
Marathon	0	1	
Mpact	0	1	
Muller	2	10	
No Acetabular	0	1	
Novae	0	14	
Novae E	0	21	
PINNACLE	0	4	
R3	0	1	
RM Cup	0	2	
Reflection (Cup)	8	30	
Restoration	0	1	
Saturne	4	31	
Trabecular Metal (Shell)	0	3	
Trident (Cup)	0	3	
Trident (Shell)	1	51	
Trident II/Tritanium (Shell)	0	7	
Trident/Tritanium (Shell)	0	4	
Trilogy	0	1	
Trinity	128	5579	
Trinity Plus	1	38	
ZCA	1	2	
TOTAL	190	6234	