Active Knee (cementless)/Active Knee Total Knee Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee femoral/tibial combination with all other total knee prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2023.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The revision rate of the Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% Cl)
Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee	750	7215	82231	0.91 (0.85, 0.98)
Other Total Knee	26086	750737	4987580	0.52 (0.52, 0.53)
TOTAL	26836	757952	5069811	0.53 (0.52, 0.54)

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement


CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs 5	S Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee	1.1 (0.9, 1.4)	2.6 (2.3, 3.0)	3.6 (3.2, 4.0)	4.4 (4.0, 4.9)	5.0 (4.6, 5.6)	5.7 (5. 6.	, ,	
Other Total Knee	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)	1.8 (1.8, 1.9)	2.4 (2.4, 2.4)	2.8 (2.8, 2.8)	3.1 (3.1, 3.2)	3.4 (3. 3.	•	
CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	י 13	٢s	14 Yrs	15 Yrs
Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee	8.1 (7.5, 8.8)	8.8 (8.1, 9.5)	9.6 (8.9, 10.4	4) 10.4 (9 11		(10.3, 11.9)	11.5 (10.7, 12.4)	12.5 (11.6, 13.5)
Other Total Knee	4.3 (4.2, 4.3)	4.6 (4.5, 4.6)	4.8 (4.8, 4.9	9) 5.1 (5.1, 5	.2) 5.4 (5.	4, 5.5)	5.7 (5.7, 5.8)	6.1 (6.0, 6.2)
CPR	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 \	ſrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs
Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee	13.5 (12.6, 14.6)	14.3 (13.2, 15.4)				(13.9, 16.5)		
Other Total Knee	6.5 (6.4, 6.6)	6.8 (6.7, 7.0)	7.1 (7.0, 7.3	3) 7.4 (7.2, 7	.6) 7.6 (7.	4, 7.8)	7.8 (7.6, 8.0)	8.0 (7.7, 8.4)


FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.





Number at Risk	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs
Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee	3262	2806	2391	1950	1532	1165	769	384	106	9	0
Other Total Knee	108661	82982	62659	46048	33460	23571	15665	9560	5380	2309	538

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

3860

Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total knee prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

	Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee		Other To	otal Knee
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	733	97.7	25271	96.9
Rheumatoid Arthritis	8	1.1	334	1.3
Tumour			162	0.6
Other Inflammatory Arthritis	3	0.4	157	0.6
Osteonecrosis	5	0.7	96	0.4
Fracture			48	0.2
Other			18	0.1
Chondrocalcinosis	1	0.1		
TOTAL	750	100.0	26086	100.0

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.


Table 4: Primary Total Knee Replacement - Reason for Revision

	Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee				Other Total Knee	
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	102	1.4	13.6	7254	1.0	27.8
Loosening	153	2.1	20.4	5868	0.8	22.5
Instability	81	1.1	10.8	2529	0.3	9.7
Pain	60	0.8	8.0	1977	0.3	7.6
Patellofemoral Pain	78	1.1	10.4	1855	0.2	7.1
Patella Erosion	73	1.0	9.7	1712	0.2	6.6
Arthrofibrosis	29	0.4	3.9	1006	0.1	3.9
Fracture	44	0.6	5.9	979	0.1	3.8
Malalignment	14	0.2	1.9	588	0.1	2.3
Wear Tibial Insert	22	0.3	2.9	346	0.0	1.3
Lysis	21	0.3	2.8	310	0.0	1.2
Incorrect Sizing	1	0.0	0.1	261	0.0	1.0
Patella Maltracking	1	0.0	0.1	185	0.0	0.7
Implant Breakage Tibial Insert				174	0.0	0.7
Bearing Dislocation	2	0.0	0.3	149	0.0	0.6
Metal Related Pathology	1	0.0	0.1	106	0.0	0.4
Implant Breakage Patella	51	0.7	6.8	89	0.0	0.3
Prosthesis Dislocation	1	0.0	0.1	83	0.0	0.3
Synovitis	2	0.0	0.3	73	0.0	0.3
Osteonecrosis	4	0.1	0.5	51	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Tibial	2	0.0	0.3	40	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Femoral	1	0.0	0.1	38	0.0	0.1
Wear Patella	1	0.0	0.1	35	0.0	0.1
Tumour				34	0.0	0.1
Heterotopic Bone	1	0.0	0.1	13	0.0	0.0
Wear Tibial	1	0.0	0.1	8	0.0	0.0
Progression Of Disease	1	0.0	0.1	6	0.0	0.0
Patella Dislocation				2	0.0	0.0
Incorrect Side				1	0.0	0.0
Wear Femoral				1	0.0	0.0
Other	3	0.0	0.4	313	0.0	1.2
N Revision	750	10.4	100.0	26086	3.5	100.0
N Primary	7215			750737		

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Knee Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee total knee combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total knee prostheses.





Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Knee Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee total knee combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total knee prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total knee prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee total knee combination compared to all other total knee prostheses.

	Active Knee (cle	ess)/Active Knee	Other To	otal Knee
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TKR (Tibial/Femoral)	224	29.9	6433	24.7
Tibial Component	34	4.5	2102	8.1
Cement Spacer	15	2.0	1348	5.2
Femoral Component	28	3.7	1294	5.0
Removal of Prostheses	2	0.3	152	0.6
Total Femoral			24	0.1
Reinsertion of Components			13	0.0
N Major	303	40.4	11366	43.6
Insert Only	129	17.2	7454	28.6
Patella Only	121	16.1	4609	17.7
Insert/Patella	197	26.3	2575	9.9
Minor Components			64	0.2
Cement Only			18	0.1
N Minor	447	59.6	14720	56.4
TOTAL	750	100.0	26086	100.0

Revision Rates of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cemented	1	16
Cementless	588	4899
Hybrid (Tibial Cemented)	161	2300
TOTAL	750	7215

TABLE 7

Revision Rates of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this combination are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Non XLPE	750	7212
Unknown	0	3
TOTAL	750	7215

Revision Rates of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing mobilities. All bearing mobilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

Bearing Mobility	N Revised	N Total
Fixed	748	7204
Rotating - Sliding	2	8
Unknown	0	3
TOTAL	750	7215

TABLE 9

Revision Rates of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of stabilities. All stabilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 9: Revised Number of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

Stability	N Revised	N Total
Minimally Stabilised	750	7212
Unknown	0	3
TOTAL	750	7215

Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee total knee combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total knee prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Component	State	N Revised	N Total	
Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee	NSW	430	3937	
	VIC	252	2735	
	QLD	23	95	
	WA	44	441	
	TAS	1	7	
Other Total Knee	NSW	7529	258499	
	VIC	5573	150312	
	QLD	5743	156913	
	WA	3201	80698	
	SA	2967	66419	
	TAS	436	18126	
	ACT/NT	637	19770	
TOTAL		26836	757952	

Table 10: Revised Number of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

Number of Revisions of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee total knee combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2020 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 11: Number of Revisions of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2001	0	18
2002	33	203
2003	69	613
2004	99	790
2005	79	693
2006	39	466
2007	45	510
2008	60	483
2009	39	412
2010	53	479
2011	81	601
2012	53	500
2013	39	427
2014	27	319
2015	17	336
2016	7	176
2017	5	91
2018	3	35
2019	1	21
2020	0	24
2021	1	17
2022	0	1
TOTAL	750	7215

Revision Rates of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Coating	Fixation
Femoral					
Active Knee	1015210001-1015220026	V1 CEMENTLESS FEMORAL IMPLANT	NO	HA COATED	BEADED
Active Knee	1015210202-1015220230	V2 CEMENTLESS FEMORAL IMPLANT	NO	HA COATED	BEADED
Active Knee	1015210210-1015220329	V3 CEMENTLESS FEMORAL IMPLANT	NO	HA COATED	BEADED
Tibial					
Active Knee	1015130300-1015130309	V2 CEMENTLESS TIBIAL IMPLANT	NO	HA COATED	BEADED
Active Knee	1015130400-1015130409	V3 CEMENTLESS TIBIAL IMPLANT STEMMED	NO	HA COATED	BEADED
Active Knee	1015130500-1015130509	V3 CEMENTED TIBIAL IMPLANT STEMMED	YES		POLISHED
Active Knee	1015130550-1015130559	MOBILE TIBIAL IMPLANT	NO	HA COATED	BEADED
Active Knee	10AKTRCTI1A-10AKTRCTI5B	REVISION CEMENTED TIBIAL IMPLANT W/TIBIAL STEM	YES		POLISHED

Table 12: Revised Number of Active Knee (cless)/Active Knee Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Range	Tibial Range	N Revised	N Total
1015210001-1015220026	1015130300-1015130309	293	2288
	1015130400-1015130409	0	14
	1015130500-1015130509	49	586
	1015130550-1015130559	0	1
1015210202-1015220230	1015130300-1015130309	53	577
	1015130400-1015130409	56	504
	1015130500-1015130509	37	460
	1015130550-1015130559	2	7
	10AKTRCTI1A-10AKTRCTI5B	0	1
1015210210-1015220329	1015130300-1015130309	41	282
	1015130400-1015130409	147	1247
	1015130500-1015130509	68	1242
	10AKTRCTI1A-10AKTRCTI5B	4	6
TOTAL		750	7215