# Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Total Knee Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus femoral/tibial combination with all other total knee prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2023.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

#### TABLE 1

#### **Revision Rate of Primary Total Knee Replacement**

The revision rate of the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

#### Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% Cl)
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	86	631	7966	1.08 (0.86, 1.33)
Other Total Knee	26836	757949	5069780	0.53 (0.52, 0.54)
TOTAL	26922	758580	5077746	0.53 (0.52, 0.54)

# Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.


CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	0.8 (0.3, 1.9)	3.2 (2.1, 5.0)	4.1 (2.8, 6.0)	5.8 (4.2, 8.0)	5.8 (4.2, 8.0)	7.2 (5.4 9.6	, , ,	
Other Total Knee	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)	1.8 (1.8, 1.9)	2.4 (2.4, 2.4)	2.8 (2.8, 2.9)	3.1 (3.1, 3.2)	3.5 (3.4 3.5		• • •
CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	s 13	Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	10.3 (8.0, 13.1)	11.1 (8.8, 14.0)	11.3 (9.0 14.3		(9.8, 13. 15.4)	1 (10.5, 16.2)	14.7 (11.8, 18.1)	15.3 (12.4, 18.8)
Other Total Knee	4.3 (4.3, 4.4)	4.6 (4.6, 4.7)	4.9 (4.9, 5.0	)) 5.2 (5.2,	5.3) 5.6 (5	5.5, 5.6)	5.9 (5.8, 5.9)	6.2 (6.1, 6.3)
CPR	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	s 20	Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	15.9 (12.9, 19.5)	16.7 (13.6, 20.4)	17.1 (13.9 20.9	, ,	14.7, 18. 22.3)	2 (14.7, 22.3)		
Other Total Knee	6.6 (6.5, 6.8)	7.0 (6.9, 7.2)	7.3 (7.2, 7.5	5) 7.6 (7.4,	7.8) 7.8 (7	7.6, 8.0)	8.0 (7.8, 8.2)	8.2 (7.9, 8.6)


# FIGURE 1

# Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.





Number at Risk	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	352	335	308	279	242	215	168	115	57	16	0
Other Total Knee	111922	85787	65049	47997	34991	24735	16433	9944	5486	2318	538

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

Yrs

380

# Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total knee prostheses.

# Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

	Rotaglide Plus,	/Rotaglide Plus	Other To	tal Knee
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	84	97.7	26004	96.9
Rheumatoid Arthritis	2	2.3	342	1.3
Tumour			162	0.6
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			160	0.6
Osteonecrosis			101	0.4
Fracture			48	0.2
Other			18	0.1
Chondrocalcinosis			1	0.0
TOTAL	86	100.0	26836	100.0

# **Reasons for Revision**

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

**% Primaries Revised:** This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

**% Revisions:** The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.


# Table 4: Primary Total Knee Replacement - Reason for Revision

	Rotag	glide Plus/Rotaglid	e Plus		Other Total Knee	
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	11	1.7	12.8	7356	1.0	27.4
Loosening	30	4.8	34.9	6021	0.8	22.4
Instability	5	0.8	5.8	2610	0.3	9.7
Pain	5	0.8	5.8	2037	0.3	7.6
Patellofemoral Pain	5	0.8	5.8	1933	0.3	7.2
Patella Erosion	1	0.2	1.2	1785	0.2	6.7
Arthrofibrosis	2	0.3	2.3	1035	0.1	3.9
Fracture	2	0.3	2.3	1023	0.1	3.8
Malalignment	2	0.3	2.3	602	0.1	2.2
Wear Tibial Insert	8	1.3	9.3	368	0.0	1.4
Lysis	6	1.0	7.0	331	0.0	1.2
Incorrect Sizing				262	0.0	1.0
Patella Maltracking	2	0.3	2.3	186	0.0	0.7
Implant Breakage Tibial Insert	2	0.3	2.3	174	0.0	0.6
Bearing Dislocation				151	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Patella				140	0.0	0.5
Metal Related Pathology	2	0.3	2.3	107	0.0	0.4
Prosthesis Dislocation	1	0.2	1.2	84	0.0	0.3
Synovitis				75	0.0	0.3
Osteonecrosis				55	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Tibial	2	0.3	2.3	42	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Femoral				39	0.0	0.1
Wear Patella				36	0.0	0.1
Tumour				34	0.0	0.1
Heterotopic Bone				14	0.0	0.1
Wear Tibial				9	0.0	0.0
Progression Of Disease				7	0.0	0.0
Patella Dislocation				2	0.0	0.0
Incorrect Side				1	0.0	0.0
Wear Femoral				1	0.0	0.0
Other				316	0.0	1.2
N Revision	86	13.6	100.0	26836	3.5	100.0
N Primary	631			757949		

# FIGURE 2

# Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Knee Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total knee prostheses.





# Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Knee Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total knee prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total knee prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination compared to all other total knee prostheses.

	Rotaglide Plus,	/Rotaglide Plus	Other To	otal Knee
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TKR (Tibial/Femoral)	41	47.7	6657	24.8
Tibial Component	5	5.8	2136	8.0
Cement Spacer	3	3.5	1363	5.1
Femoral Component	1	1.2	1322	4.9
Removal of Prostheses			154	0.6
Total Femoral			24	0.1
Reinsertion of Components			13	0.0
N Major	50	58.1	11669	43.5
Insert Only	23	26.7	7583	28.3
Patella Only	8	9.3	4730	17.6
Insert/Patella	5	5.8	2772	10.3
Minor Components			64	0.2
Cement Only			18	0.1
N Minor	36	41.9	15167	56.5
TOTAL	86	100.0	26836	100.0

# Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

## Table 6: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cemented	10	89
Cementless	36	364
Hybrid (Tibial Cemented)	39	174
Hybrid (Tibial Cementless)	1	4
TOTAL	86	631

# TABLE 7

# Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this combination are listed.

#### Table 7: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Non XLPE	86	631
TOTAL	86	631

# Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing mobilities. All bearing mobilities used with this combination are listed.

# Table 8: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

Bearing Mobility	N Revised	N Total
Fixed	3	34
Rotating - Sliding	83	597
TOTAL	86	631

# TABLE 9

# Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of stabilities. All stabilities used with this combination are listed.

# Table 9: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

Stability	N Revised	N Total
Minimally Stabilised	86	631
TOTAL	86	631

# Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total knee prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Component	State	N Revised	N Total
Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus	NSW	86	631
Other Total Knee	NSW	7959	262433
	VIC	5825	153047
	QLD	5766	157008
	WA	3245	81139
	SA	2967	66419
	TAS	437	18133
	ACT/NT	637	19770
TOTAL		26922	758580

#### Table 10: Revised Number of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

#### Number of Revisions of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus total knee combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2020 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

# Table 11: Number of Revisions of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2001	6	56
2002	17	125
2003	23	151
2004	10	110
2005	18	101
2006	9	43
2007	2	30
2008	1	15
TOTAL	86	631

# Revision Rates of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range		Catalogue Description	Cement	Coating	Fixation
Femoral						
Rotaglide Plus	102410-102424	COCR	LATCHED SURFACE RIGHT	YES		
Rotaglide Plus	A102410B-A102414B	COCR LEFT	LATCHED SURFACE TIALLOY POROUS COAT + HAP	NO	HA COATED	POROUS
Rotaglide Plus	A102420B-A102424B	COCR RIGHT	LATCHED SURFACE TIALLOY POROUS COAT + HAP	NO	HA COATED	POROUS
Tibial						
Rotaglide Plus	102100-102104	COCR LAT	CHED SURFACE BASE PLATE	YES		
Rotaglide Plus	102100B-102104B	COCR	LATCHED SURFACE TIALLOY POROUS COAT + HAP	NO		POROUS

Table 12: Revised Number of Rotaglide Plus/Rotaglide Plus Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Range	Tibial Range	N Revised	N Total
102410-102424	102100-102104	10	86
	102100B-102104B	1	4
A102410B-A102414B	102100-102104	21	85
	102100B-102104B	17	165
A102420B-A102424B	102100-102104	18	91
	102100B-102104B	19	200
TOTAL		86	631