# Bionik/Bionik Total Resurfacing Hip Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Bionik/Bionik head/acetabular combination with all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2023.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

#### TABLE 1

### Revision Rate of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

The revision rate of the Bionik/Bionik total resurfacing hip combination is compared to all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% CI)
Bionik/Bionik	64	200	2276	2.81 (2.17, 3.59)
Other Total Resurfacing Hip	1198	15387	188388	0.64 (0.60, 0.67)
TOTAL	1262	15587	190664	0.66 (0.63, 0.70)

TABLE 2

# Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Bionik/Bionik total resurfacing hip combination is compared to all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs 4	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Bionik/Bionik	3.5 (1.7, 7.2)	8.0 (5.0,       1 12.8)	2.5 (8.7, 14 18.0)	4.6 (10.4, 1 20.3)	18.6 (13.8, 24.7)	20.1 (15.2, 26.4)	, ,	23.1 (17.9, 29.7)
Other Total Resurfacing Hip	1.3 (1.2, 1.5) 1.9	9 (1.7, 2.1) 2.3	(2.1, 2.6) 2.7	(2.5, 3.0) 3.2	2 (3.0, 3.6) 3	3.8 (3.5, 4.1)	4.4 (4.1, 4.8)	5.0 (4.6, 5.4)
CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	: 13	3 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs
Bionik/Bionik	26.2 (20.7, 32.9)	27.8 (22.1, 34.6)	30.3 (24.4 37.3		24.4, 32 37.3)	2.0 (26.0, 39.1)	32.6 (26.5, 39.7)	32.6 (26.5, 39.7)
Other Total Resurfacing Hip	5.5 (5.1, 5.9)	6.3 (5.8, 6.7)	6.8 (6.4, 7.3	7.3 (6.9,	7.8) 8.0 (	(7.5, 8.5) 8	3.5 (8.0, 9.1)	9.1 (8.6, 9.7)
CPR	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20	) Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs
Bionik/Bionik								

Bionik/Bionik

Other Total Resurfacing Hip

9.7 (9.2, 10.3)

10.2 (9.7, 10.6 (10.0, 11.0 (10.4, 11.5 (10.8, 12.0 (11.2, 12.0 (11.2, 12.8) 12.8)


### FIGURE 1

### Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Bionik/Bionik total resurfacing hip combination is compared to all other total resurfacing hip prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.

Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement



Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

3

## Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

	Bionik/Bionik		Other Total Resurfacing Hip	
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	61	95.3	1098	91.7
Developmental Dysplasia	1	1.6	49	4.1
Osteonecrosis	2	3.1	34	2.8
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			10	0.8
Rheumatoid Arthritis			6	0.5
Other			1	0.1
TOTAL	64	100.0	1198	100.0

#### Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

**% Primaries Revised:** This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

**% Revisions:** The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 17.4 Years)

		Bionik/Bionik		Other Total Resurfacing Hip		
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Loosening	16	8.0	25.0	313	2.0	27.1
Metal Related Pathology	14	7.0	21.9	244	1.6	21.1
Fracture	12	6.0	18.8	225	1.5	19.4
Lysis	7	3.5	10.9	126	0.8	10.9
Infection	1	0.5	1.6	75	0.5	6.5
Pain	5	2.5	7.8	66	0.4	5.7
Prosthesis Dislocation/Instability				30	0.2	2.6
Osteonecrosis				26	0.2	2.2
Malposition	3	1.5	4.7	19	0.1	1.6
Tumour	1	0.5	1.6	4	0.0	0.3
Wear Acetabulum				3	0.0	0.3
Implant Breakage Acetabular	2	1.0	3.1	2	0.0	0.2
Leg Length Discrepancy	1	0.5	1.6	2	0.0	0.2
Progression Of Disease				2	0.0	0.2
Heterotopic Bone				1	0.0	0.1
Implant Breakage Head	1	0.5	1.6			
Implant Breakage Stem				1	0.0	0.1
Incorrect Sizing				1	0.0	0.1
Synovitis				1	0.0	0.1
Other	1	0.5	1.6	16	0.1	1.4
N Revision	64	32.0	100.0	1157	7.5	100.0
N Primary	200			15387		


Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 17.4 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

### FIGURE 2

### Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Bionik/Bionik total resurfacing hip combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement



### Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Bionik/Bionik total resurfacing hip combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total resurfacing hip prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Bionik/Bionik total resurfacing hip combination compared to all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement - Type of Revision (Follow-up Limited to 17.4 Years)

	Bionik	Bionik/Bionik Other Total Resurfacing Hip		esurfacing Hip
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
THR (Femoral/Acetabular)	46	71.9	791	68.4
Femoral Component	17	26.6	293	25.3
Acetabular Component			37	3.2
Cement Spacer	1	1.6	28	2.4
Removal of Prostheses			7	0.6
N Major	64	100.0	1156	99.9
Head/Insert			1	0.1
N Minor			1	0.1
TOTAL	64	100.0	1157	100.0

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 17.4 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

# Revision Rates of Bionik/Bionik Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Bionik/Bionik Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cemented	0	1
Cementless	4	15
Hybrid (Femur Cemented)	60	181
Reverse Hybrid (Femur Cementless)	0	3
TOTAL	64	200

### Revision Rates of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Bionik/Bionik total resurfacing hip combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total resurfacing hip prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Table 7: Revised Number of Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by State

Component	State	N Revised	N Total	
Bionik/Bionik	NSW	43	128	
	VIC	5	25	
	QLD	0	2	
	WA	13	35	
	TAS	3	10	
Other Total Resurfacing Hip	NSW	282	4047	
	VIC	442	5099	
	QLD	266	3867	
	WA	60	1049	
	SA	91	614	
	TAS	1	36	
	ACT/NT	56	675	
TOTAL		1262	15587	

## Number of Revisions of Bionik/Bionik Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Bionik/Bionik total resurfacing hip combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2020 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 8: Number of Revisions of Bionik/Bionik Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2005	4	12
2006	9	33
2007	8	33
2008	16	46
2009	22	54
2010	5	20
2011	0	2
TOTAL	64	200

# Revision Rates of Bionik/Bionik Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Bionik/Bionik prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material
Head				
Bionik	10260038-10260058	HIP RESURFACING CEMENTLESS SILVER	NO	METAL
Bionik	10270042-10270058	HIP RESURFACING CEMENTED SILVER	YES	METAL
Bionik	10270238-10270258	CERAMIC HEAD HIP SURF. REPLACEMENT	YES	CERAMIC
Bionik	10280038-10280058	HIP RESURFACING CEMENTLESS SILVER	NO	METAL
Bionik	10280138-10280158	HIP SURFACE REPLACEMENT CEMENTED BIOSURF SILVER	YES	METAL
Bionik	10280642-10280654	HIP RESURFACING CEMENTLESS SILVER CAP-COAT	NO	METAL
Bionik	10282038-10282058	FEMORAL HEAD SHELL BIOSURF CEMENTED	YES	METAL
Acetabular				
Bionik	10201050-10201064	METAL SHELL BS TINB COAT	NO	METAL
Bionik	10201150-10201164	METAL SHELL BS TINB COAT SCREW FIX	NO	METAL
Bionik	10201246-10201264	METAL SHELL CEMENTLESS TINB COAT SCREW FIX	NO	METAL
Bionik	10201346-10201366	METAL SHELL TINB CAP SCREW FIX	NO	METAL

Table 9: Revised Number of Bionik/Bionik Primary Total Resurfacing Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Head Range	Acetabular Range	N Revised	N Total	
10260038-10260058	10201150-10201164	0	1	
10270042-10270058	10201150-10201164	5	6	
	10201246-10201264	0	4	
	10201346-10201366	3	11	
10270238-10270258	10201150-10201164	1	1	
	10201246-10201264	2	2	
	10201346-10201366	9	9	
10280038-10280058	10201050-10201064	0	1	
	10201150-10201164	0	1	
10280138-10280158	10201050-10201064	0	1	
	10201150-10201164	0	3	
	10201246-10201264	2	10	
	10201346-10201366	9	52	
10280642-10280654	10201050-10201064	0	2	
	10201150-10201164	2	2	
	10201246-10201264	2	3	
	10201346-10201366	0	7	
10282038-10282058	10201150-10201164	1	3	
	10201246-10201264	16	46	
	10201346-10201366	12	35	
TOTAL		64	200	