Profemur L Total Conventional Hip Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Profemur L femoral stem prosthesis with all other total conventional hip prostheses.

This prosthesis has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2023.

Note: Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The revision rate of the Profemur L total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% Cl)
Profemur L	127	3514	14768	0.86 (0.72, 1.02)
Other Total Conventional Hip	17325	490631	3066694	0.56 (0.56, 0.57)
TOTAL	17452	494145	3081462	0.57 (0.56, 0.57)

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Profemur L total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Profemur L	2.5 (2.0, 3.1)	3.0 (2.5, 3.7)	3.5 (2.9, 4.2)	3.6 (3.0, 4.3)	4.0 (3.3, 4.7)	4.2 (3.5, 5.0)	4.4 (3.7, 5.3)	4.6 (3.8, 5.5)
Other Total Conventional Hip	1.7 (1.7, 1.8)	2.2 (2.1, 2.2)	2.5 (2.5, 2.6)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.1 (3.0, 3.1)	3.4 (3.3, 3.4)	3.6 (3.6, 3.7)	3.9 (3.9, 4.0)
CDD	0 Vrc	10 Vrc	11 Vrc	12 Vrc	12 V	'nc	14 Vrc	15 Vrc
Profemur I	46(38.55)	10 115	11 115	12 115	15 1	15	14 115	15 115
Other Total Conventional Hip	4.3 (4.2, 4.3)	4.6 (4.5, 4.7)	4.9 (4.8, 5.0) 5.3 (5.2, 5	5.4) 5.7 (5.	6, 5.8) 6.	1 (6.0, 6.2)	6.5 (6.4, 6.6)
	•							
CPR	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Y	rs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs
Profemur L								
Other Total Conventional Hip	6.9 (6.8, 7.1)	7.3 (7.1, 7.4)	7.6 (7.4, 7.8	3) 8.2 (8.0, 8	8.5) 8.5 (8.	2, 8.8) 8.	9 (8.5, 9.3)	9.3 (8.8, 9.8)

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Profemur L total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.





Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

	Profemur L		Other Total Co	nventional Hip
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	115	90.6	14317	82.6
Fractured Neck Of Femur	3	2.4	1288	7.4
Osteonecrosis	5	3.9	792	4.6
Developmental Dysplasia	3	2.4	276	1.6
Rheumatoid Arthritis	1	0.8	185	1.1
Failed Internal Fixation			147	0.8
Tumour			145	0.8
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			99	0.6
Fracture/Dislocation			46	0.3
Arthrodesis Takedown			16	0.1
Other			14	0.1
TOTAL	127	100.0	17325	100.0

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 10.1 Years)

		Profemur L		Othe	er Total Convention	al Hip
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	14	0.4	11.0	3838	0.8	24.3
Prosthesis Dislocation/Instability	30	0.9	23.6	3783	0.8	23.9
Fracture	37	1.1	29.1	3369	0.7	21.3
Loosening	26	0.7	20.5	3047	0.6	19.3
Pain	5	0.1	3.9	290	0.1	1.8
Leg Length Discrepancy	5	0.1	3.9	264	0.1	1.7
Malposition	3	0.1	2.4	231	0.0	1.5
Implant Breakage Stem				130	0.0	0.8
Lysis	1	0.0	0.8	107	0.0	0.7
Incorrect Sizing				101	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Acetabular Insert	2	0.1	1.6	100	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Acetabular	1	0.0	0.8	59	0.0	0.4
Metal Related Pathology				58	0.0	0.4
Wear Acetabular Insert	2	0.1	1.6	46	0.0	0.3
Tumour				40	0.0	0.3
Wear Head				38	0.0	0.2
Heterotopic Bone				25	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Head	1	0.0	0.8	24	0.0	0.2
Wear Acetabulum				5	0.0	0.0
Progression Of Disease				2	0.0	0.0
Osteonecrosis				1	0.0	0.0
Synovitis				1	0.0	0.0
Other				246	0.1	1.6
N Revision	127	3.6	100.0	15805	3.2	100.0
N Primary	3514			490631		

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 10.1 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Profemur L total conventional hip prosthesis. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total conventional hip prostheses.



Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Profemur L total conventional hip prosthesis and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Profemur L total conventional hip prosthesis compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Type of Revision (Follow-up Limited to 10.1 Years)				
	Profe	emur L	Other Total Co	nventional Hip
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Femoral Component	36	28.3	5114	32.4
Acetabular Component	45	35.4	2924	18.5
THR (Femoral/Acetabular)	12	9.4	1746	11.0
Cement Spacer	4	3.1	583	3.7
Removal of Prostheses	1	0.8	87	0.6
Reinsertion of Components	1	0.8	26	0.2
Total Femoral			6	0.0
Bipolar Head and Femoral			5	0.0
Saddle			1	0.0
N Major	99	78.0	10492	66.4
Head/Insert	15	11.8	4045	25.6
Head Only	8	6.3	820	5.2
Minor Components	2	1.6	270	1.7
Insert Only	3	2.4	174	1.1
Bipolar Only			2	0.0
Cement Only			1	0.0
Head/Neck			1	0.0
N Minor	28	22.0	5313	33.6
TOTAL	127	100.0	15805	100.0

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 10.1 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

Revision Rates of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cementless	127	3511
Hybrid (Femur Cemented)	0	1
Reverse Hybrid (Femur Cementless)	0	2
TOTAL	127	3514

TABLE 7

Revision Rates of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total	
Ceramic/Ceramic	7	59	
Ceramic/XLPE	79	2309	
Metal/XLPE	40	1144	
Ceramicised Metal/XLPE	1	1	
Unknown	0	1	
TOTAL	127	3514	

Revision Rates of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are used with a variety of surgical approaches. All surgical approaches used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

Approach	N Revised	N Total
Anterior	37	387
Lateral	14	409
Posterior	56	2305
TOTAL	107	3101

Note: Excludes 413 procedures with no approach recorded

Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Profemur L total conventional hip prosthesis and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Component	State	N Revised	N Total	
Profemur L	NSW	96	2423	
	VIC	13	782	
	QLD	7	69	
	WA	9	218	
	TAS	2	22	
Other Total Conventional Hip	NSW	4632	142345	
	VIC	4335	127542	
	QLD	3444	86858	
	WA	2380	58670	
	SA	1621	45638	
	TAS	403	16360	
	ACT/NT	510	13218	
TOTAL		17452	494145	

Table 9: Revised Number of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

Number of Revisions of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Profemur L total conventional hip prosthesis. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2020 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 10: Number of Revisions of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2012	0	2
2013	1	47
2014	16	288
2015	18	384
2016	24	406
2017	17	405
2018	15	439
2019	19	426
2020	13	388
2021	2	340
2022	2	389
TOTAL	127	3514

Revision Rates of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Profemur L prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material	Coating
Femoral Stem					
Profemur L	PHAE5502-PHAE5522	HA CLASSIC CEMENTLESS STEM EXTENDED	NO	METAL	HA COATED
Profemur L	PHAS5502-PHAS5522	HA CLASSIC CEMENTLESS STEM STANDARD	NO	METAL	HA COATED

Table 11: Revised Number of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Stem Range	N Revised	N Total
PHAE5502-PHAE5522	62	1345
PHAS5502-PHAS5522	65	2169
TOTAL	127	3514

Revision Rates of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Component

A prosthesis may be combined with multiple components. This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the component with which it is combined.

Table 12: Revised Number of Profemur L Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Acetabular Component

Acetabular Component	N Revised	N Total	
Dynasty	99	1907	
Exeter X3 Rimfit	0	1	
Procotyl L	26	1491	
R3	0	1	
Trident (Shell)	2	114	
TOTAL	127	3514	