HACTIV Total Conventional Hip Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the HACTIV femoral stem prosthesis with all other total conventional hip prostheses.

This prosthesis has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2023.

Note: Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The revision rate of the HACTIV total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% Cl)
HACTIV	94	2598	9895	0.95 (0.77, 1.16)
Other Total Conventional Hip	17359	491577	3071845	0.57 (0.56, 0.57)
TOTAL	17453	494175	3081741	0.57 (0.56, 0.57)

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the HACTIV total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
HACTIV	2.8 (2.2, 3.5)	3.4 (2.8, 4.2)	3.6 (2.9, 4.4)	3.6 (2.9, 4.4)	3.8 (3.1, 4.6)	4.1 (3.3 5.2	,	
Other Total Conventional Hip	1.7 (1.7, 1.8)	2.2 (2.1, 2.2)	2.5 (2.5, 2.6)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.1 (3.0, 3.1)	3.4 (3.3 3.4		• • •
CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 \	/rs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs
HACTIV	4.4 (3.5, 5.6)	4.4 (3.5, 5.6)	11115		15		14 115	15 115
Other Total Conventional Hip	4.3 (4.2, 4.3)	4.6 (4.5, 4.7)	4.9 (4.8, 5.0)) 5.3 (5.2, 5	5.4) 5.7 (5	.6, 5.8)	6.1 (6.0, 6.2)	6.5 (6.4, 6.6)
CPR	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20	/rs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs
HACTIV								
Other Total Conventional Hip	6.9 (6.8, 7.1)	7.3 (7.1, 7.4)	7.6 (7.4, 7.8	3) 8.2 (8.0, 8	8.5) 8.5 (8	.2, 8.8)	8.9 (8.5, 9.3)	9.3 (8.8, 9.8)


Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the HACTIV total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.





Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

	HACTIV		Other Total Co	nventional Hip
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	89	94.7	14344	82.6
Fractured Neck Of Femur			1291	7.4
Osteonecrosis	2	2.1	795	4.6
Developmental Dysplasia	1	1.1	278	1.6
Rheumatoid Arthritis			186	1.1
Failed Internal Fixation			147	0.8
Tumour			145	0.8
Other Inflammatory Arthritis	1	1.1	98	0.6
Fracture/Dislocation			46	0.3
Arthrodesis Takedown	1	1.1	15	0.1
Other			14	0.1
TOTAL	94	100.0	17359	100.0

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 12.1 Years)

		HACTIV		Othe	r Total Convention	al Hip
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	25	1.0	26.6	3892	0.8	23.6
Prosthesis Dislocation/Instability	24	0.9	25.5	3874	0.8	23.5
Fracture	23	0.9	24.5	3564	0.7	21.6
Loosening	13	0.5	13.8	3247	0.7	19.7
Pain	3	0.1	3.2	296	0.1	1.8
Leg Length Discrepancy	1	0.0	1.1	268	0.1	1.6
Malposition	1	0.0	1.1	240	0.0	1.5
Implant Breakage Stem	1	0.0	1.1	148	0.0	0.9
Lysis				143	0.0	0.9
Implant Breakage Acetabular Insert	1	0.0	1.1	112	0.0	0.7
Incorrect Sizing				102	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Acetabular				66	0.0	0.4
Wear Acetabular Insert				65	0.0	0.4
Metal Related Pathology				62	0.0	0.4
Wear Head				42	0.0	0.3
Tumour				40	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Head				28	0.0	0.2
Heterotopic Bone				26	0.0	0.2
Wear Acetabulum				7	0.0	0.0
Progression Of Disease				2	0.0	0.0
Osteonecrosis				1	0.0	0.0
Synovitis				1	0.0	0.0
Other	2	0.1	2.1	253	0.1	1.5
N Revision	94	3.6	100.0	16479	3.4	100.0
N Primary	2598			491577		

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 12.1 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the HACTIV total conventional hip prosthesis. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total conventional hip prostheses.




Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the HACTIV total conventional hip prosthesis and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the HACTIV total conventional hip prosthesis compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

	nventional Hip Replacement - Type of Revis HACTIV		Other Total Conventional Hip	
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Femoral Component	26	27.7	5387	32.7
Acetabular Component	34	36.2	3061	18.6
THR (Femoral/Acetabular)	14	14.9	1841	11.2
Cement Spacer	4	4.3	599	3.6
Removal of Prostheses			91	0.6
Reinsertion of Components			27	0.2
Total Femoral			6	0.0
Bipolar Head and Femoral			5	0.0
Saddle			1	0.0
N Major	78	83.0	11018	66.9
Head/Insert	13	13.8	4159	25.2
Head Only	3	3.2	833	5.1
Vinor Components			287	1.7
nsert Only			178	1.1
Bipolar Only			2	0.0
Cement Only			1	0.0
Head/Neck			1	0.0
N Minor	16	17.0	5461	33.1
TOTAL	94	100.0	16479	100.0

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 12.1 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

Revision Rates of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cementless	93	2594
Hybrid (Femur Cemented)	1	3
Reverse Hybrid (Femur Cementless)	0	1
TOTAL	94	2598

TABLE 7

Revision Rates of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total	
Ceramic/Ceramic	15	330	
Ceramic/Non XLPE	7	666	
Ceramic/XLPE	61	1272	
Ceramic/XLPE + Antioxidant	4	126	
Metal/Non XLPE	5	99	
Metal/XLPE	2	101	
Metal/XLPE + Antioxidant	0	4	
TOTAL	94	2598	

Revision Rates of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are used with a variety of surgical approaches. All surgical approaches used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

Approach	N Revised	N Total
Anterior	15	400
Lateral	9	347
Posterior	54	1563
TOTAL	78	2310

Note: Excludes 288 procedures with no approach recorded

Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the HACTIV total conventional hip prosthesis and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Component	State	N Revised	N Total	
HACTIV	NSW	30	674	
	VIC	0	4	
	QLD	1	14	
	WA	48	1601	
	SA	15	299	
	ACT/NT	0	6	
Other Total Conventional Hip	NSW	4698	144115	
	VIC	4348	128320	
	QLD	3450	86913	
	WA	2341	57287	
	SA	1607	45347	
	TAS	405	16382	
	ACT/NT	510	13213	
TOTAL		17453	494175	

Table 9: Revised Number of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

Number of Revisions of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the HACTIV total conventional hip prosthesis. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2020 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 10: Number of Revisions of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2010	0	2
2011	4	19
2012	1	63
2013	3	61
2014	7	117
2015	2	146
2016	1	96
2017	16	240
2018	18	452
2019	22	388
2020	11	418
2021	8	406
2022	1	190
TOTAL	94	2598

Revision Rates of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular HACTIV prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material	Coating
Femoral Stem					
HACTIV	H49007-H49020	HA FEMORAL STEM	NO	METAL	HA COATED
HACTIV	H49C009-H49C020	HACTIV NON CEMENTED HAC COLLARED STEM	NO	METAL	HA COATED
HACTIV	H49L009-H49L020	HA LATERAL FEMORAL STEM	NO	METAL	HA COATED
HACTIV	H49LC009-H49LC020	FEMORAL STEM HIGH OFFSET COLLARED	NO	METAL	HA COATED

Table 11: Revised Number of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Stem Range	N Revised	N Total
H49007-H49020	22	733
H49C009-H49C020	29	716
H49L009-H49L020	19	566
H49LC009-H49LC020	24	583
TOTAL	94	2598

Revision Rates of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Component

A prosthesis may be combined with multiple components. This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the component with which it is combined.

Table 12: Revised Number of HACTIV Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Acetabular Component

Acetabular Component	N Revised	N Total
C2	3	62
Cer-Met	1	12
Delta-TT	9	130
FMP	0	13
Fin II	0	41
Furlong	1	11
G7	0	1
Logical G	63	1324
Marathon	0	1
PINNACLE	1	9
R3	0	5
Saturne	12	764
Trabecular Metal (Shell)	0	2
Trident (Shell)	0	1
Trident/Tritanium (Shell)	0	14
Trinity	4	208
TOTAL	94	2598