### **Dynasty Total Conventional Hip Investigation**

Note: This analysis compares the Dynasty acetabular prosthesis with all other total conventional hip prostheses.

This prosthesis has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2023.

Note: Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

#### TABLE 1

#### Revision Rate of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The revision rate of the Dynasty total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% CI)
Dynasty	112	2109	10762	1.04 (0.86, 1.25)
Other Total Conventional Hip	17349	492134	3071569	0.56 (0.56, 0.57)
TOTAL	17461	494243	3082331	0.57 (0.56, 0.57)

TABLE 2

Dynasty

Other Total Conventional Hip

# Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Dynasty total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Dynasty	3.4 (2.7, 4.2)	4.0 (3.2, 4.9)	4.6 (3.7, 5.6)	4.7 (3.9, 5.8)	5.2 (4.3, 6.3)	5.7 (4.7) 6.8)	• •	` '
Other Total Conventional Hip	1.7 (1.7, 1.8)	2.2 (2.1, 2.2)	2.5 (2.5, 2.6)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.1 (3.0, 3.1)	3.4 (3.3) 3.4)	, ,	•
CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	5 13	Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs
Dynasty	6.3 (5.2, 7.7)	6.3 (5.2, 7.7)						
Other Total Conventional Hip	4.2 (4.2, 4.3)	4.6 (4.5, 4.6)	4.9 (4.8, 5.0	)) 5.3 (5.2,	5.4) 5.7 (5	5.6, 5.8) 6	5.1 (6.0, 6.2)	6.5 (6.4, 6.6)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

 $6.9 \ (6.8, \ 7.1) \quad 7.3 \ (7.1, \ 7.4) \quad 7.6 \ (7.4, \ 7.8) \quad 8.2 \ (8.0, \ 8.5) \quad 8.5 \ (8.2, \ 8.8) \quad 8.9 \ (8.5, \ 9.3) \quad 9.3 \ (8.8, \ 9.8)$ 


#### FIGURE 1

#### Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Dynasty total conventional hip prosthesis is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.

Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement



### Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

	Dyn	asty	Other Total Cor	nventional Hip
Primary Diagnosis	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	104	92.9	14336	82.6
Fractured Neck Of Femur	2	1.8	1289	7.4
Osteonecrosis	4	3.6	794	4.6
Developmental Dysplasia	2	1.8	277	1.6
Rheumatoid Arthritis			186	1.1
Failed Internal Fixation			147	0.8
Tumour			145	0.8
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			99	0.6
Fracture/Dislocation			46	0.3
Arthrodesis Takedown			16	0.1
Other			14	0.1
TOTAL	112	100.0	17349	100.0

#### Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

**% Primaries Revised:** This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

**% Revisions:** The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 11.9 Years)

		Dynasty		Othe	r Total Convention	al Hip
Revision Diagnosis	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	9	0.4	8.0	3903	0.8	23.8
Prosthesis Dislocation/Instability	29	1.4	25.9	3870	0.8	23.6
Fracture	31	1.5	27.7	3544	0.7	21.6
Loosening	27	1.3	24.1	3221	0.7	19.6
Pain	5	0.2	4.5	294	0.1	1.8
Leg Length Discrepancy	4	0.2	3.6	265	0.1	1.6
Malposition	2	0.1	1.8	239	0.0	1.5
Implant Breakage Stem				147	0.0	0.9
Lysis	1	0.0	0.9	139	0.0	0.8
Implant Breakage Acetabular Insert	1	0.0	0.9	110	0.0	0.7
Incorrect Sizing				102	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Acetabular				66	0.0	0.4
Metal Related Pathology	1	0.0	0.9	61	0.0	0.4
Wear Acetabular Insert	2	0.1	1.8	61	0.0	0.4
Wear Head				41	0.0	0.2
Tumour				40	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Head				28	0.0	0.2
Heterotopic Bone				26	0.0	0.2
Wear Acetabulum				7	0.0	0.0
Progression Of Disease				2	0.0	0.0
Osteonecrosis				1	0.0	0.0
Synovitis				1	0.0	0.0
Other				254	0.1	1.5
N Revision	112	5.3	100.0	16422	3.3	100.0
N Primary	2109			492134		


Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 11.9 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions. Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

### FIGURE 2

### Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Dynasty total conventional hip prosthesis. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement



#### Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Dynasty total conventional hip prosthesis and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Dynasty total conventional hip prosthesis compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Type of Revision (Follow-up Limited to 11.9 Years)

Table 5. Filliary Total Co		nasty		nventional Hip
Type of Revision	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Femoral Component	27	24.1	5372	32.7
Acetabular Component	46	41.1	3042	18.5
THR (Femoral/Acetabular)	13	11.6	1834	11.2
Cement Spacer	3	2.7	600	3.7
Removal of Prostheses	1	0.9	90	0.5
Reinsertion of Components	1	0.9	26	0.2
Total Femoral			6	0.0
Bipolar Head and Femoral			5	0.0
Saddle			1	0.0
N Major	91	81.3	10976	66.8
Head/Insert	10	8.9	4153	25.3
Head Only	6	5.4	829	5.0
Minor Components	2	1.8	284	1.7
Insert Only	2	1.8	176	1.1
Bipolar Only			2	0.0
Cement Only			1	0.0
Head/Neck			1	0.0
Head/Neck/Insert	1	0.9		
N Minor	21	18.8	5446	33.2
TOTAL	112	100.0	16422	100.0

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 11.9 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

### Revision Rates of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cementless	112	2096
Hybrid (Femur Cemented)	0	13
TOTAL	112	2109

#### **TABLE 7**

### Revision Rates of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Ceramic/XLPE	75	1428
Metal/Metal	0	1
Metal/XLPE	36	678
Ceramicised Metal/XLPE	1	1
Unknown	0	1
TOTAL	112	2109

# Revision Rates of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are used with a variety of surgical approaches. All surgical approaches used with this prosthesis are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

Approach	N Revised	N Total
Anterior	36	368
Lateral	10	167
Posterior	42	1220
TOTAL	88	1755

Note: Excludes 354 procedures with no approach recorded

### Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Dynasty total conventional hip prosthesis and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Table 9: Revised Number of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

Component	State	N Revised	N Total	
Dynasty	NSW	93	1908	
	VIC	0	1	
	QLD	7	69	
	WA	12	131	
Other Total Conventional Hip	NSW	4637	142887	
	VIC	4348	128323	
	QLD	3444	86858	
	WA	2384	58828	
	SA	1621	45638	
	TAS	405	16382	
	ACT/NT	510	13218	
TOTAL		17461	494243	

# Number of Revisions of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Dynasty total conventional hip prosthesis. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2020 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 10: Number of Revisions of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2011	3	40
2012	4	31
2013	2	49
2014	12	178
2015	17	298
2016	21	317
2017	16	306
2018	13	307
2019	14	272
2020	10	241
2021	0	39
2022	0	31
TOTAL	112	2109

# Revision Rates of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Dynasty prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material
Acetabular				
Dynasty	DSBFGB46-DSBFGK76	DYNASTY BIOFOAM SHELL TI6AL4V TI	NO	METAL
Dynasty	DSPCGA42-DSPCGH68	DYNASTY PC SHELL TI6AL4V	NO	METAL

Table 11: Revised Number of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Acetabular Range	N Revised	N Total
DSBFGB46-DSBFGK76	91	1357
DSPCGA42-DSPCGH68	21	752
TOTAL	112	2109

# Revision Rates of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Component

A prosthesis may be combined with multiple components. This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the component with which it is combined.

Table 12: Revised Number of Dynasty Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Femoral Stem Component

Femoral Stem Component	N Revised	N Total
CORAIL	0	2
CPT	0	1
Exeter V40	0	9
Guardian	0	1
Profemur	0	1
Profemur E	0	11
Profemur Gladiator	6	105
Profemur L	99	1907
Profemur L (exch neck)	0	14
Profemur TL	7	56
Profemur XM	0	2
TOTAL	112	2109