

Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Total Conventional Hip Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the Friendly Hip/Delta-TT femoral stem/acetabular combination with all other total conventional hip prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, <https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2023>.

Note: Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2022 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The revision rate of the Friendly Hip/Delta-TT total conventional hip combination is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% CI)
Friendly Hip/Delta-TT	6	74	487	1.23 (0.45, 2.68)
Other Total Conventional Hip	17452	494145	3081462	0.57 (0.56, 0.57)
TOTAL	17458	494219	3081949	0.57 (0.56, 0.57)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 2

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Friendly Hip/Delta-TT total conventional hip combination is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs
Friendly Hip/Delta-TT	5.5 (2.1, 14.0)	8.3 (3.8, 17.6)	8.3 (3.8, 17.6)	8.3 (3.8, 17.6)	8.3 (3.8, 17.6)	8.3 (3.8, 17.6)	8.3 (3.8, 17.6)	8.3 (3.8, 17.6)
Other Total Conventional Hip	1.7 (1.7, 1.8)	2.2 (2.1, 2.2)	2.5 (2.5, 2.6)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.1 (3.0, 3.1)	3.4 (3.3, 3.4)	3.6 (3.6, 3.7)	3.9 (3.9, 4.0)

CPR	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs
Friendly Hip/Delta-TT	8.3 (3.8, 17.6)	8.3 (3.8, 17.6)					
Other Total Conventional Hip	4.3 (4.2, 4.3)	4.6 (4.5, 4.7)	4.9 (4.8, 5.0)	5.3 (5.2, 5.4)	5.7 (5.6, 5.8)	6.1 (6.0, 6.2)	6.5 (6.4, 6.6)

CPR	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs
Friendly Hip/Delta-TT							
Other Total Conventional Hip	6.9 (6.8, 7.1)	7.3 (7.1, 7.4)	7.6 (7.4, 7.8)	8.2 (8.0, 8.5)	8.5 (8.2, 8.8)	8.9 (8.5, 9.3)	9.3 (8.8, 9.8)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.


FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the Friendly Hip/Delta-TT total conventional hip combination is compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.

Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement



Number at Risk	0 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs	8 Yrs	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs
Friendly Hip/Delta-TT	74	66	64	60	58	51	48	40	30	21	10	4
Other Total Conventional Hip	494145	437500	388717	344220	299660	258064	219311	183514	151369	124924	102632	83483

Number at Risk	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs
Friendly Hip/Delta-TT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Total Conventional Hip	66659	51987	39538	29740	22330	16535	11402	7013	3737	1435	252

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 3**Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement**

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

Primary Diagnosis	Friendly Hip/Delta-TT		Other Total Conventional Hip	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	4	66.7	14432	82.7
Fractured Neck Of Femur			1291	7.4
Osteonecrosis	1	16.7	797	4.6
Developmental Dysplasia			279	1.6
Rheumatoid Arthritis			186	1.1
Failed Internal Fixation	1	16.7	147	0.8
Tumour			145	0.8
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			99	0.6
Fracture/Dislocation			46	0.3
Arthrodesis Takedown			16	0.1
Other			14	0.1
TOTAL	6	100.0	17452	100.0

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 4

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 11.9 Years)

Revision Diagnosis	Friendly Hip/Delta-TT			Other Total Conventional Hip		
	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	1	1.4	16.7	3912	0.8	23.7
Prosthesis Dislocation/Instability	1	1.4	16.7	3895	0.8	23.6
Fracture	2	2.7	33.3	3570	0.7	21.6
Loosening	2	2.7	33.3	3244	0.7	19.6
Pain				299	0.1	1.8
Leg Length Discrepancy				269	0.1	1.6
Malposition				241	0.0	1.5
Implant Breakage Stem				147	0.0	0.9
Lysis				140	0.0	0.8
Implant Breakage Acetabular Insert				111	0.0	0.7
Incorrect Sizing				102	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Acetabular				66	0.0	0.4
Wear Acetabular Insert				63	0.0	0.4
Metal Related Pathology				61	0.0	0.4
Wear Head				41	0.0	0.2
Tumour				40	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Head				28	0.0	0.2
Heterotopic Bone				26	0.0	0.2
Wear Acetabulum				7	0.0	0.0
Progression Of Disease				2	0.0	0.0
Osteonecrosis				1	0.0	0.0
Synovitis				1	0.0	0.0
Other				253	0.1	1.5
N Revision	6	8.1	100.0	16519	3.3	100.0
N Primary	74			494145		

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 11.9 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2

Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the Friendly Hip/Delta-TT total conventional hip combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement




TABLE 5

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the Friendly Hip/Delta-TT total conventional hip combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total conventional hip prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the Friendly Hip/Delta-TT total conventional hip combination compared to all other total conventional hip prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement - Type of Revision (Follow-up Limited to 11.9 Years)

Type of Revision	Friendly Hip/Delta-TT		Other Total Conventional Hip	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Femoral Component	2	33.3	5392	32.6
Acetabular Component	1	16.7	3085	18.7
THR (Femoral/Acetabular)	1	16.7	1844	11.2
Cement Spacer	1	16.7	603	3.7
Removal of Prostheses			91	0.6
Reinsertion of Components			27	0.2
Total Femoral			6	0.0
Bipolar Head and Femoral			5	0.0
Saddle			1	0.0
N Major	5	83.3	11054	66.9
Head/Insert	1	16.7	4162	25.2
Head Only			835	5.1
Minor Components			286	1.7
Insert Only			178	1.1
Bipolar Only			2	0.0
Cement Only			1	0.0
Head/Neck			1	0.0
N Minor	1	16.7	5465	33.1
TOTAL	6	100.0	16519	100.0

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 11.9 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 6**Revision Rates of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Hybrid (Femur Cemented)	6	74
TOTAL	6	74

TABLE 7**Revision Rates of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this combination are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Ceramic/Ceramic	3	8
Ceramic/XLPE	1	7
Metal/Non XLPE	0	3
Metal/XLPE	2	56
TOTAL	6	74

TABLE 8**Revision Rates of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are used with a variety of surgical approaches. All surgical approaches used with this combination are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Approach

Approach	N Revised	N Total
Lateral	1	13
Posterior	1	6
TOTAL	2	19

Note: Excludes 55 procedures with no approach recorded

TABLE 9

Revision Rates of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the Friendly Hip/Delta-TT total conventional hip combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total conventional hip prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Table 9: Revised Number of Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by State

Component	State	N Revised	N Total
Friendly Hip/Delta-TT	NSW	2	9
	VIC	2	33
	QLD	1	22
	TAS	1	10
Other Total Conventional Hip	NSW	4728	144768
	VIC	4348	128324
	QLD	3451	86927
	WA	2389	58888
	SA	1621	45638
	TAS	405	16382
	ACT/NT	510	13218
TOTAL		17458	494219

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2022 are excluded from the comparator. Procedures using metal/metal prostheses with head size larger than 32mm are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 10**Number of Revisions of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant**

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the Friendly Hip/Delta-TT total conventional hip combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2022 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2020 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 10: Number of Revisions of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2011	1	14
2012	1	12
2013	1	13
2014	1	13
2015	0	9
2016	1	6
2017	1	4
2018	0	2
2019	0	1
TOTAL	6	74

TABLE 11

Revision Rates of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular Friendly Hip/Delta-TT prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Material	Fixation
Femoral Stem					
Friendly Hip	411007130-411007340	TAPERED POLISHED NON CANNULATED STEM	YES	METAL	POLISHED
Acetabular					
Delta-TT	555215440-555215760	DELTA-TT TITANIUM ACETABULAR CUP	NO	METAL	

Table 11: Revised Number of Friendly Hip/Delta-TT Primary Total Conventional Hip Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Stem Range	Acetabular Range	N Revised	N Total
411007130-411007340	555215440-555215760	6	74
TOTAL		6	74